University of Washington School of Law

UW Law Digital Commons

Washington Supreme Court Documents

School Finance Litigation: McCleary v. State of Washington

10-25-2017

Plaintiffs' RAP 10.8 Statement of Additional Authorities Relating to Yesterday's Oral Argument

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.uw.edu/wasupreme

Recommended Citation

Plaintiffs' RAP 10.8 Statement of Additional Authorities Relating to Yesterday's Oral Argument (2017), https://digitalcommons.law.uw.edu/wasupreme/13

This Statement of Additional Authorities is brought to you for free and open access by the School Finance Litigation: McCleary v. State of Washington at UW Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Washington Supreme Court Documents by an authorized administrator of UW Law Digital Commons. For more information, please contact cnyberg@uw.edu.

FILED SUPREME COURT STATE OF WASHINGTON 10/25/2017 2:07 PM BY SUSAN L. CARLSON CLERK

No. 84362-7

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

STATE OF WASHINGTON,

Defendant/Appellant,

V.

MATHEW & STEPHANIE MCCLEARY, on their own behalf and on behalf of KELSEY & CARTER McCLEARY, their two children in Washington's public schools: ROBERT & PATTY VENEMA, on their own behalf and on behalf of HALIE & ROBBIE VENEMA, their two children in Washington's public schools; and NETWORK FOR EXCELLENCE IN WASHINGTON SCHOOLS ("NEWS"), a state-wide coalition of community groups, public school districts, and education organizations,

Plaintiffs/Respondents.

PLAINTIFFS' RAP 10.8 STATEMENT OF ADDITIONAL AUTHORITIES RELATING TO YESTERDAY'S ORAL ARGUMENT Pursuant to RAP 10.8,¹ plaintiffs submit this statement of additional authorities with respect to yesterday's oral argument:

October 2, 2017 Kansas Supreme Court case referenced by counsel when answering the Court's questions:²

• Gannon v. State, -- Kan. --, 402 P.3d 513, 518, 523 (Oct. 2, 2017) (party asserting compliance with a court decision ordering remedial action in school funding case bears the burden of establishing such compliance; "Once legislation is enacted, the State will have to satisfactorily demonstrate to this court by June 30, 2018, that its proposed remedy brings the state's education financing system into compliance with Article 6 of the Kansas Constitution.... the State will continue to bear the burden of establishing such compliance and explaining its rationales for the choices made to achieve it.") (internal citations omitted).

Case law on deference to legislative findings referenced by counsel when answering the Court's questions:³

• Gonzales v. Carhart, 550 U.S. 124, 165, 127 S.Ct. 1610, 1637, 167 L.Ed.2d 480 (2007) ("the Attorney General urges us to uphold the Act on the basis of the congressional findings alone. Although we review congressional fact finding under a deferential standard, we do not in the circumstances here place dispositive weight on Congress' findings. The Court retains an independent constitutional duty to review factual findings where constitutional rights are at stake.") (citing Crowell v Benson, 285 U.S. 22, 60 (1932) for judicial power extending to "the independent determination of all questions, both of fact and law" in cases brought to enforce constitutional rights).

¹ See RAP 10.8 ("ADDITIONAL AUTHORITIES A party or amicus curiae may file a statement of additional authorities. The statement should not contain argument, but should identify the issue for which each authority is offered. The statement must be served and filed prior to the filing of the decision on the merits or, if there is a motion for reconsideration, prior to the filing of the decision on the motion.").

² https://www.tvw.org/watch/?eventID=2017101066 (time stamp at 30:27-30:59).

³ https://www.tvw.org/watch/?eventID=2017101066 (time stamp at 42:50-43:00).

- Latta v. Otter, 771 F.3d 456, 469 (9th Cir. 2014) ("Defendants have not demonstrated that the Idaho and Nevada legislatures actually found the facts asserted in their briefs; even if they had, deference would not be warranted. Unsupported legislative conclusions ... have not been afforded deference by the Court. To the contrary, we retain an independent constitutional duty to review factual findings where constitutional rights are at stake.") (citations and internal quotation marks omitted).
- In re Washington State Apple Advertising Commission, 257 F.Supp.2d 1274, 1277 n.3 (E.D. Wash. 2003) (defendant Commission argues "that when a legislature makes factual findings, a Court may not, under separation of powers principles, reject those findings. While the Court agrees that the Washington State Legislature's factual findings regarding the Commission are entitled to deference, that deference cannot preclude this Court's independent judgment of the facts necessary to decide the constitutionality of the Commission's assessment structure.").

September 28, 2017 Pennsylvania Supreme Court school funding case relating to the Court's noting separation of powers when enforcing the constitutional rights at issue:⁴

• William Penn School District v. Pennsylvania Dept. of Education, -- A.3d --, 2017 WL 4287879 (Penn. Sept. 28, 2017) at *2 ("It is settled beyond peradventure that constitutional promises must be kept. Since Marbury v. Madison, 5 U.S. 137 (1803), it has been well-established that the separation of powers in our tripartite system of government typically depends upon judicial review to check acts or omissions by the other branches in derogation of constitutional requirements."), at *16 - *31 ("The cornerstone of our republican democracy is the principle of government divided into three separate, co-equal branches that both empower and constrain one another. Judicial review stands as a bulwark against unconstitutional or otherwise illegal actions by the two political branches.") (citations omitted).

⁴ https://www.tvw.org/watch/?eventID=2017101066 (time stamp at 39:55-41:09).

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 25th day of October, 2017.

s/ Thomas F. Ahearne

Thomas F. Ahearne, WSBA No. 14844 Christopher G. Emch, WSBA No. 26457 Adrian Urquhart Winder, WSBA No. 38071 Spencer W. Coates, WSBA No. 49683 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

Laura G. White declares:

I am a citizen of the United States of America and a resident of the State of Washington. I am over the age of twenty-one years. I am not a party to this action, and I am competent to be a witness herein. On Wednesday, October 25, 2017, I caused PLAINTIFFS' RAP 10.8 STATEMENT OF ADDITIONAL AUTHORITIES RELATING TO YESTERDAY'S ORAL ARGUMENT to be served as follows:

David A. Stolier, Sr. Alan D. Copsey Office of the Attorney General 1125 Washington Street SE Olympia, WA 98504-0100 daves@atg.wa.gov alanc@atg.wa.gov ✓ Via Electronic Mail
✓ Via Supreme Court Web Portal
✓ Via U.S. First Class Mail

Defendant State of Washington

Katherine George Johnston-George LLP 1126 34th Avenue, Suite 307 Seattle, WA 98122 kathy@johnstongeorge.com ☑ Via Electronic Mail☑ Via Supreme Court Web Portal☑ Via U.S. First Class Mail

Amici Curiae The Arc Of King County, The Arc Of Washington State, TeamChild, Washington Autism Alliance & Advocacy, Open Doors For Multicultural Families, Seattle Special Education PTSA, Bellevue Special Needs PTA, Gary Stobbe, M.D., James Mancini And Rep. Gerry Pollet

Summer Stinson Via Electronic Mail Via Supreme Court Web Portal 311 NW 74th Street Via U.S. First Class Mail Seattle, WA 98117 summerstinson@gmail.com Kathryn Russell Selk Russell Selk Law Office 1037 NE 65th St., #176 Seattle, WA 98115 KARSdroit@aol.com Amicus Curiae Washington's Paramount Duty Paul J. Lawrence Via Electronic Mail Via Supreme Court Web Portal Jamie L. Lisagor Via U.S. First Class Mail Pacifica Law Group LLP 1191 Second Avenue, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98101 paul.lawrence@pacificalawgroup.com jamie.lisagor@pacificalawgroup.com Amici Curiae Washington State Budget & Policy Center, Equity In Education Coalition, Senator Jamie Pedersen, Representative Laurie Jinkins, and Representative Gerry Pollet Harriet Strasberg Via Electronic Mail Via Supreme Court Web Portal 203 Fourth Ave E., Suite 520 Via U.S. First Class Mail Olympia, WA 98501 hstrasberg@comcast.net

Amici Curiae National Association For The Advancement Of Colored People (NAACP), Chinese Information Service Center, Multicultural Education Rights Alliance, Rainier Beach Action Coalition, Southeast Seattle Education Coalition, and United Indians Of All Tribes Foundation

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that the foregoing is true and correct.

EXECUTED in Seattle, Washington, this 25^{th} day of October, 2017.

<u>s/Laura G. White</u>Laura G. White, Legal Assistant

FOSTER PEPPER PLLC

October 25, 2017 - 2:07 PM

Transmittal Information

Filed with Court: Supreme Court

Appellate Court Case Number: 84362-7

Appellate Court Case Title: MATHEW & STEPHANIE MCCLEARY ET AL VS STATE OF

WASHINGTON

Superior Court Case Number: 07-2-02323-2

The following documents have been uploaded:

843627_Other_20171025140514SC731966_6527.pdf

This File Contains:

Other - Plaintiffs' Statement of Additional Authorities

The Original File Name was Plaintiffs RAP 10-8 Statement of Additional Authorities Re Yesterdays Oral Argument.pdf

A copy of the uploaded files will be sent to:

- EduLitigation@ATG.WA.GOV
- KARSdroit@aol.com
- Valerie.kathrynrussellselk@gmail.com
- adrian.winder@foster.com
- alanc@atg.wa.gov
- buzz@pfrwa.com
- canderson@perkinscoie.com
- cdainsberg@ij.org
- cdejulio@perkinscoie.com
- chris.emch@foster.com
- cindy.bourne@pacificalawgroup.com
- cjones@joneslegalgroup.net
- cyndi@pfrwa.com
- daves@atg.wa.gov
- david.keenan@kingcounty.gov
- dawn.taylor@pacificalawgroup.com
- dscaramastra@gsblaw.com
- emaffeo@pseofwa.org
- gabrielle.thompson@klgates.com
- · grace.yuan@klgates.com
- gwiens@mickesotoole.com
- hcassubhai@spiroharrison.com
- hstrasberg@comcast.net
- hstrasberg@me.com
- jamie.lisagor@pacificalawgroup.com
- jasonmackay@hotmail.com
- jmackay@pseofwa.org
- john.bjorkman@klgates.com
- kathleen@pfrwa.com
- kathy@johnstongeorge.com
- maia@pfrwa.com

- mary.vancleve@columbialegal.org
- matthew.segal@pacificalawgroup.com
- mbindas@ij.org
- michael.althauser@columbialegal.org
- paul.lawrence@pacificalawgroup.com
- rmckenna@orrick.com
- sarahadunne@yahoo.com
- scot@johnstongeorge.com
- sea_wa_appellatefilings@orrick.com
- sgoolyef@atg.wa.gov
- spencer.coates@foster.com
- summerstinson@gmail.com
- talner@aclu-wa.org
- vhughes@perkinscoie.com
- wbcollins@comcast.net

Comments:

Plaintiffs' RAP 10.8 Statement Of Additional Authorities Relating To Yesterday's Oral Argument

Sender Name: Laura White - Email: laura.white@foster.com

Filing on Behalf of: Thomas Fitzgerald Ahearne - Email: ahearne@foster.com (Alternate Email:

litdocket@foster.com)

Address:

1111 Third Avenue, Suite 3000

Seattle, WA, 98101 Phone: (206) 447-4400

Note: The Filing Id is 20171025140514SC731966