University of Washington School of Law

UW Law Digital Commons

King County Superior Court Documents

School Finance Litigation: McCleary v. State of Washington

7-9-2007

Protective Order 07-2-02323-2-36

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.uw.edu/king

Recommended Citation

"Protective Order" 07-2-02323-2-36. *King County Superior Court Documents*. 46. https://digitalcommons.law.uw.edu/king/46

This Order is brought to you for free and open access by the School Finance Litigation: McCleary v. State of Washington at UW Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in King County Superior Court Documents by an authorized administrator of UW Law Digital Commons. For more information, please contact cnyberg@uw.edu.



JUL 0.9 2007

SUPERIOR COURT CLERK BARBARA WINTER DEPUTY

SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON FOR KING COUNTY

MATHEW & STEPHANIE MCCLEARY, on their own behalf and on behalf of Kelsey & Carter McCleary; Robert & Patty Venema, on their own behalf and on behalf of Halie & Robbie Venema; and Network for Excellence in Washington Schools ("NEWS"),

Petitioners,

STATE OF WASHINGTON,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Respondent.

Honorable Paris K. Kallas

Hearing Date (without oral argument): July 3, 2007

No. 07-2-02323-2 SEA

-{Proposed} PROTECTIVE ORDER

(PKK)

THIS MATTER came before this Court on Petitioners' Motion For A Protective Order. This Court has considered the pleadings and files in this case, including that Motion, the Certificate Of Compliance Concerning Discovery Conferences, the Respondent State's opposition papers, and the Petitioners' reply papers.

Having reviewed the above, this Court hereby ORDERS, ADJUDGES; and DECREES that Petitioners' Motion For A Protective Order is GRANTED as follows:

1. The Civil Rules do not entitle the State to impose upon Petitioners the barden and expense of searching for, reviewing, and producing documents on an issue not material to the pending summary judgment motion that will (if granted) put an end to this trial court proceeding—in this instance, documents concerning the funding that the State should appropriate to comply with this Court's yet-to-be-issued interpretation of the scope of the education that Article IX, §1 requires the State to provide to all Washington children. [Alternative language:

[PROPOSED] PROTECTIVE ORDER - 1

FOSTER PEPPER PLLC
1111 THIRD AVENUE, SUITE 3400
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101-3299 \$ 206-447-4400

Discovery concerning the funding that the State should appropriate to comply with this Court's interpretation of the scope of the education that Article IX, §1 requires the State to provide to all Washington children is stayed until after this Court issues its legal ruling establishing the scope of the State's duty under Article IX, §1 and the State determines the funding that the State believes will comply with that duty.

The Civil Rules do not entitle the State to discovery that reveals opposing fully moved moved and all and theories about this case—e.g., work product, mental impressions, and theories about this case—e.g., work product, mental impressions, and theories about this case—e.g., work product, mental impressions, and theories about this case—e.g., work product, mental impressions, and theories about this case—e.g., work product, mental impressions, and theories about this case—e.g., work product, mental impressions, and theories about this case—e.g., work product, mental impressions, and theories about this case—e.g., work product, mental impressions, and theories about this case—e.g., work product, mental impressions, and theories about this case—e.g., work product, mental impressions, and theories about this case—e.g., work product, mental impressions, and theories about this case—e.g., work product, mental impressions, and theories about this case—e.g., work product, mental impressions, and theories about this case—e.g., work product, mental impressions, and theories about this case—e.g., work product the ca

3. The Civil Rules do not entitle the State to force Petitioners' attorneys to search through the periodicals, internet webpages & emails, articles, and other materials they routinely receive as part of their general legal practice to find materials that relate to the topics the State is interested in researching for this case. The Petitioners' attorneys accordingly do not have to search through those materials to find materials that relate to the topics about which the State has requested.

Because this discovery was provided by the

DONE IN OPEN COURT this _____ day of July, 2007.

The Honorable Paris K. Kallas Washington Superior Court Judge

[PROPOSED] PROTECTIVE ORDER - 2

FOSTER PEPPER PLLC
1111 THIRD AVENUE, SUITE 3400
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101-3299 \$ 206-447-4400

iskkally

1 Presented by:

FOSTER PEPPER PLLC

Attorneys for the Petitioners

3

2

3

4

5

6

7

•

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

26

Notice of presentation waived: OFFICE OF THE WASHINGTON ATTORNEY GENERAL ROBERT M. MCKENNA

Approved as to form and for entry;

Thomas F. Ahearne, WSBA No. 14844 Edmund W. Robb, WSBA No 35948

William G. Clark, WSBA No. 9234 David S. Stolier, WSBA No. 24071 Jon P. Ferguson, WSBA No. 5619 Dierk Meierbachtol, WSBA No. 31010 Attorneys for the Respondent State

Following this counts reling on the pending summary judgment motion, the count will conduit a Rule 16e conference to address the case schedule. It will then, the existing case schedule remains in place.

PKC

[PROPOSED] PROTECTIVE ORDER - 3

FOSTER PEPPER PLLC
1111 THIRD AVENUE, SUITE 3400
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101-3299 \$ 206-447-4400

50822589.2