University of Washington School of Law

UW Law Digital Commons

70-cv-9213, U.S. v. Washington

Federal District Court Filings

1-13-1972

Docket Entry 107 - Filed Appeal of Washington Reefneters Association to participate as a party, or alternate Motion for reconsideration

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.uw.edu/us-v-wash-70-9213

Recommended Citation

Docket Entry 107 - Filed Appeal of Washington Reefneters Association to participate as a party, or alternate Motion for reconsideration (1972), https://digitalcommons.law.uw.edu/us-v-wash-70-9213/65

This Other is brought to you for free and open access by the Federal District Court Filings at UW Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in 70-cv-9213, U.S. v. Washington by an authorized administrator of UW Law Digital Commons. For more information, please contact lawref@uw.edu.

WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 1 David E. Rhea Asmundson, Rhea & Atwood 2 220 BNB Building JAN 1万的72 Bellingham, Washington 98225 3 Telephone: Area Code 206--733-3370 CHAPIES A. SCHAPE CLERK 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA 7 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CIVIL NO. 9213 8 Plaintiff, APPLICATION BY WASHINGTON 9 VS. REEFNETTERS ASSOCIATION TO PARTICIPATE AS A PARTY OR, 10 STATE OF WASHINGTON, et. al, ALTERNATIVELY, MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION 11 Defendants. 12 13 COMES NOW the WASHINGTON REEFNETTERS ASSOCIATION, an unincorporated association, through DAVID E. RHEA, of its attorneys 14 15 and herewith makes application to this Court for leave to parti-16 cipate henceforth herein as a party, rather than as Amicus 17 Curiae, or, alternatively, for a reconsideration of the prior oral ruling of this Court made March 4, 1971, and the written 19 Order based upon said oral ruling which was also signed on said date. 21

This Motion is based upon the contents of the Court file of this proceedings and particularly upon the Complaint of Intervenor, Lummi Indian Tribe, the proposed Answer of the Washington Reefnetters Association, and the aforesaid preliminary Order signed by the Honorable Judge H. Boldt herein above referred

It is also based upon the Affidavit of DAVID E. RHEA hereunto attached.

DATED this 30th day of December, 1971.

WASHINGTON REEFNETTERS ASSOCIATION

Its attorney

> ASMUNDSON, RHEA & ATWOOD ATTORNEYS AT LAW

> > TELEPHONE 733-3370

Application

SUITE 220 BELLINGHAM NATIONAL BANK BUILDING BELLINGHAM, WASHINGTON 98225

18

20

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

29

30

31

32

STATE OF WASHINGTON) SS COUNTY OF WHATCOM)

DAVID E. RHEA, being first duly sworn, on oath says:

At all times during the pendency of this action he has been the attorney for the WASHINGTON REEFNETTERS ASSOCIATION.

The Preliminary Order signed and entered herein March 4, 1971, by the Honorable George H. Boldt provided, in provision two thereof, that the organizations which had been petitioning to intervene as parties, or appear as Amicus Curiae, were given leave to appear as Amicus Curiae but not as parties; provided, that at a later time any such organization might make written application to participate as a party on a showing of specific need and necessity therefore.

Such a time has now arrived, as to this association, for the following reasons:

Affiant, as counsel for said association, has received no or for discovery copies whatsoever of any proposed stipulations of fact/which are either being negotiated, or which may have been entered into, by the counsel for the various tribes, groups, and governmental agencies, which have been admitted as parties herein, although, he is informed, draft stipulations and discussions relating to them, and other pre-trial procedures have been in progress for several months and may already have actually been placed in final and binding form.

The members of the association would be gravely affected thereby and in such event an industry which has been in existence since the turn of the century, and particularly since the mid-1930's, will have the rights which the Lummi Indian Tribes seek to have it excluded from passed upon by this Court without an opportunity to be heard on whether the Lummis have suffered any loss of treaty fishing rights, the practices of the industry, or

their manner of operation.

They also may, because of their inability to participate discovery in such stipulations, and the various pre-trial/preparations, be prevented, or greatly hampered, in their efforts to show this Court the extent of the waters which may fairly be deemed to be the waters referred to in the treaty entered into between the Indians of Puget Sound and the United States government on January 2, 1855, conferring the "right of taking fish at usual and accustomed grounds and stations," or from showing that in no wise were their activities, which were pursued under the authority of the Department of Fisheries of the State of Washington, done in a discriminatory fashion or in a manner which would exclude such tribe from pursuing precisely similar fishing activities.

With all due respect to the Department of Fisheries, and the Department of Game, it is genuinely believed that they cannot adequately set forth, for the assistance of the Court, the manner, extent, and nondiscriminatory nature of the historic and long—purused reefnetting operations.

The declaration of rights sought by the Lummi Island Tribe, in their Complaint in Intervention herein, would sweepingly and adversely affect the rights of the members of the association without there having been provided an opportunity to present their side in opposition thereto—in other words, without having had their "day in Court." Intervention should now be permitted this group for the foregoing reasons.

Ul 6- Mua DAVID E. RHEA

Subscribed and sworn to before me this da

entre , 197

NOTARY PUBLIC in and for the State of Washington, residing at Bellingham.