University of Washington School of Law UW Law Digital Commons

70-cv-9213, U.S. v. Washington

Federal District Court Filings

1-19-1972

Docket Entry 110 - Filed Application for Temporary Restraining Order

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.uw.edu/us-v-wash-70-9213

Recommended Citation

Docket Entry 110 - Filed Application for Temporary Restraining Order (1972), https://digitalcommons.law.uw.edu/us-v-wash-70-9213/66

This Application is brought to you for free and open access by the Federal District Court Filings at UW Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in 70-cv-9213, U.S. v. Washington by an authorized administrator of UW Law Digital Commons. For more information, please contact lawref@uw.edu.

1	STAN PITKIN United States Attorney
2	
3	1012-United States Courthouse Seattle, Washington 98104
4	Telephone (206) 442-7970
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff, United States of America
6	DAVID H. GETCHES
7	PETER J. ASCHENBRENNER NATIVE AMERICAN RIGHTS FUND
8	1506 Broadway Boulder, Colorado 80302
9	Telephone (303) 447-8760
10	DAVID ALLEN JOHN SENNHAUSER
11	MICHAEL TAYLOR LEGAL SERVICES CENTER
12	2401 South Jackson Street Seattle, Washington 98144
13	Telehpone (206) 324-7477
14	ALVIN J. ZIONTZ ZIONTZ, PIRTLE & MORISSET
15	3101 Seattle First National Bank Building Seattle, Washington 98104
16	Telephone (206) 623-1255
17	CHARLES A. HOBBS WILKINSON, CRAGUN & BARKER
18	1616 H Street, N.W.
19	Washington, D. C. 20006 Telephone (202) 628-4400
20	LESTER STRITMATTER
20	STRITMATTER & STRITMATTER 210 Masonic Building
21	Hoquiam, Washington 98550 Telephone (206) 533-2710
22	WLLIAM A. STILES, JR.
23 24	133 State Street P.O. Box 228
	Sedro-Woolley, Washington 98284 Telephone (206) 855-6661
25	JAMES B. HOVIS
26	HOVIS, COCKRILL & ROY P.O. Box 437
27	Yakima, Washington 98901 Telephone (509) 453-3165
28	Attorneys for Plaintiff-Intervenors
29	
30	
31	
32	Page 1 - APPLICATION/9213
1	

1 2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
6	Plaintiff,	CIVIL NO. 9213
7	MUCKLESHOOT INDIAN TRIBE; SQUAXIN	
8	ISLAND TRIBE OF INDIANS; SAUK-SUIATTLE INDIAN TRIBE; SKOKOMISH INDIAN TRIBE; STILLAGUAMISH TRIBE; QUAINAULT TRIBE	APPLICATION FOR
9	OF INDIANS on its own behalf and on behalf of the QUEETS BAND OF INDIANS;	TEMPORARY RESTRAINING
10	MAKAH INDIAN TRIBE; LUMMI INDIAN TRIBE; QUILEUTE INDIAN TRIBE; UPPER	ORDER
11	SKAGIT RIVER TRIBE; HOH TRIBE OF INDIANS; and CONFEDERATED TRIBES AND)
12	BANDS OF THE YAKIMA INDIAN NATION;)
13	Plaintiff-Intervenors,)
14	v.))
15	STATE OF WASHINGTON,)
16	Defendant,	
17	THOR C. TOLLEFSON, Director, Washington State Department of)
18	Fisheries; CARL CROUSE, Director, Washington Department of Game;	
19	and WASHINGTON STATE GAME COMMISSION,)
20	Defendant-Intervenors.	
21		
22	277 273	171 TT 17
23	FILED IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
24	IA I	1 9 1972
25		
26	CHARLES A. SCHAAF, CLERK	
27 28		
29 30		
30 31		
31 32		
32	Page 2 - APPLICATION/9213	

•

•

 $\prod_{i=1}^{m-1}$

Plaintiff UNITED STATES OF AMERICA applies to this Court for a temporary restraining order in the terms set forth below, upon the grounds set forth below and as more specifically described in its supporting memorandum attached herewith.

Plaintiff-intervenors MUCKLESHOOT INDIAN TRIBE, SQUAXIN ISLAND TRIBE OF INDIANS, SAUK-SUIATTLE INDIAN TRIBE, SKOKOMISH INDIAN TRIBE, STILLAGUAMISH TRIBE, QUINAULT TRIBE OF INDIANS on its own behalf and on behalf of the QUEETS BAND OF INDIANS, MAKAH INDIAN TRIBE, LUMMI INDIAN TRIBE, QUILEUTE INDIAN TRIBE, UPPER SKAGIT RIVER TRIBE, HOH TRIBE OF INDIANS, AND CONFEDERATED TRIBES AND BANDS OF THE YAKIMA INDIAN NATION, join in this motion of the UNITED STATES, on the grounds set forth below and as more specifically discussed in their supporting memorandum attached herewith.

Plaintiff United States and plaintiff-intervenor Indian tribes apply for an order temporarily restraining the defendant State of Washington, the defendant-intervenors Carl Crouse, Director of the Washington Department of Game, the Washington State Game Commission, its officers and employees, the agents of each, and all persons acting in concert or participation with them:

1. From seizing, taking, confiscating, or in any manner interfering with the use, operation or ownership of fishing equipment and gear on the Quillayute River by members of the Quileute Indian Tribe, prior to a satisfactory

31

32

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

 $\mathbf{28}$

29

30

Page 3 - APPLICATION/9213

demonstration to this Court that such enforcement is necessary for the conservation of fish, that is, that fish conservation cannot be achieved by regulation of non-Indian fishing and will not be achieved by Quileute tribal regulation.

From failing to return all fishing gear seized 2. since December 1, 1971, on the Quillayute River system to Quileute Indians who identify said gear as their own within one week of the Court's order, unless the defendants can demonstrate to the satisfaction of this Court their need for retaining any such gear within five (5) days of this Order.

From enforcing provisions of statutes, regulations, 3. or administrative orders by means of any criminal proceedings against members of the Quileute Indian Tribe for their fishing, or taking fish, on the Quillayute River, prior to a satisfactory demonstration to this Court that such enforcement is necessary for the conservation of fish, that is, that fish conservation cannot be achieved by regulation on non-Indian fishing and will not be achieved by Quileute tribal regulation.

 24^{-} Plaintiff and plaintiff-intervenors request that the order be effective for a period of ten (10) days and for any subsequent periods for which it is extended, or until plaintiff's and plaintiff-intervenors' motion for a preliminary injunction is heard and determined by the Court.

This motion of plaintiff United States and plaintiffintervenor tribes is made on the grounds that:

GPO : 1966 OF-214-854

1

2

3

4

5

6

 $\overline{7}$

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

The provisions of the challenged statutes, regulations a. and administrative orders of defendant State of Washington and the named defendant-intervenors are repugnant to the Constitution when applied to above Indians, as more particularly alleged in the complaints filed by plaintiff and the several plaintiff-intervenors;

b. The issue of the legality and the scope of the enforcement powers of defendant State of Washington and the named defendant-intervenors is presently before the Court in this action;

с. The State of Washington, Carl Crouse, the Washington State Game Commission, the officers, agents, 15_{-} employees of each of them, and persons in active concert or participation with them, have since December 1, 1971, enforced, caused to be enforced, and threatened to enforce the challenged statutes, regulations, and administrative orders against members of the Quileute tribe;

Members of plaintiff-intervenor Indian tribes have d. been, and are, intimidated by State enforcement actions which take the form of property seizures, arrests, or criminal proceedings against Indians, and, as a result of such intimidation, said members either have substantially curtailed or have entirely refrained from exercising their right to fish;

29 e. The enforcement of provisions of the statutes. 30 regulations and administrative orders described above 31 and in the affidavits filed together with this motion 32 Page 5 - APPLICATION/9213

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

 $\mathbf{28}$

will cause immediate and irreparable injury, loss, and damage to plaintiff United States as trustee and guardian for the tribes named above, and this enforcement has and will continue to interfere with the execution of governmental functions, specifically including the fulfillment of plaintiff's trust obligations to the Quileute Indian Tribe.

f. The fulfillment of federal commitments to-Indians, derived from the Constitution, treaties and statutes, has been thwarted by defendants' acts and is threatened by the evident and high probability that such acts will continue and increase;

15 Defendants' enforcement actions described above and g. 16 evidenced by attached affidavits will cause immediate 17 and irreparable injury, loss and damage to the Quileute 18 Tribe by interfering with its sovereignty, by 19 violating its treaty-guaranteed right to fish and by 20 impairing the ability of members of the Tribe to 21 subsist and earn a livelihood from fishing as 22guaranteed by treaty;

h. Applicants have no-adequate remedy at law; i. This Court may enjoin acts and proceedings in aid of its full and effective jurisdiction over the matters before it in this case;

 j. There is not sufficient time to afford notice and hearing on a motion for preliminary injunction before
Page 6 - APPLICATION/9213

1

2

3

4

5

6

 $\mathbf{7}$

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

. .

1	the court acts without risking a threat of immediate and
2	irreparable harm to the United States and to plaintiff-
3	intervenor tribes and their members.
4	
5	This motion is based upon the complaints and answers of
6	file in this action, the affidavits filed together with the
7	motion, along with such other documents on file and
8	evidence offered or requested by the Court.
9	DATED this 18th day of January 1972.
10	STAN PITKIN
11	United States Attorney
12	11, -1.
13	By Mart Dierron
14	Attorneys for Plaintiff
15	
16	DAVID H. GETCHES PETER J. ASCHENBRENNER
17	NATIVE AMERICAN RIGHTS FUND
18	DAVID ALLEN JOHN SENNHAUSER
19	MICHAEL TAYLOR LEGAL SERVICES CENTER
20	Attorneys for Muckleshoot Indian
21	Tribe; Squaxin Island Tribe of Indians; Sauk-Suiattle Indian
22	Tribe; Skokomish Indian Tribe; and Stillaguamish Tribe
23	ALVIN J. ZIONTZ
24	ZIONTZ, PIRTLE & MORISSET
25	Attorneys for Quinault Tribe of Indians of its own behalf and on
26	behalf of the Queets Band of Indians; Makah Indian Tribe;
27	Lummi Indian Tribe; and Quileute Indian Tribe
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	Page 7 - APPLICATION/9213
	1

· •

• •

CHARLES A. HOBBS WILKINSON, CRAGUN & BARKER 1 $\mathbf{2}$ Attorneys for Quinault Tribe of Indians on its own behalf and on behalf of the Queets Band of Indians 3 4 $\mathbf{5}$ LESTER STRITMATTER STRITMATTER & STRITMATTER 6 Attorneys for the Hoh Tribe of 7 Indians 8 WILLIAM A. STILES, JR. Attorney for Upper Skagit River Tribe 9 10 JAMES B. HOVIS 11 HOVIS, COCKRILL & ROY 12Attorneys for Confederated Tribes and Bands of the Yakima 13 Indian Nation 14 15 Вy 16 Getches 17 18 19 Non 20 21 22 23 $\mathbf{24}$ 2526 $\mathbf{27}$ $\mathbf{28}$ 29 30 31 32 Page 8 - APPLICATION/9213 П

۰.