University of Washington School of Law

UW Law Digital Commons

70-cv-9213, U.S. v. Washington

Federal District Court Filings

12-1-1972

Docket Entry 157 - Filed Affidavit of Stuart F. Pierson

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.uw.edu/us-v-wash-70-9213

Recommended Citation

Docket Entry 157 - Filed Affidavit of Stuart F. Pierson (1972), https://digitalcommons.law.uw.edu/us-v-wash-70-9213/98

This Affidavit is brought to you for free and open access by the Federal District Court Filings at UW Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in 70-cv-9213, U.S. v. Washington by an authorized administrator of UW Law Digital Commons. For more information, please contact lawref@uw.edu.

FILED

DEC | 1 10 PH '72

UNITED STATES DISTRICTS GOURT CLERK WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLEWD OF WASHINGTON DEPUTY CLERK UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al) Plaintiffs, NO. 9 2 1 3 v. AFFIDAVIT STATE OF WASHINGTON, et al, Defendants.

STATE OF WASHINGTON)
ss
COUNTY OF KING)

STUART F. PIERSON, being first duly sworn, on oath deposes and says:

- 1. I am an Assistant United States Attorney in the office of Stan Pitkin, United States Attorney for the Western District of Washington. As such, I have been assigned to handle the case for the United States in United States v. the State of Washington, No. 9213, Western District of Washington; and I have been designated by the Court as plaintiffs' liaison counsel in that case.
- 2. Neither I nor any of the plaintiffs! attorneys have received formal or informal requests for extension of time from the Game defendants to answer our Interrogatories of August 18 and 25, 1972.
- 3. A meeting of plaintiffs' counsel was held on November 21, 1972, in my office to discuss the delay in preparation for trial occasioned by the Game defendants' failure to answer our Interrogatories, to file memoranda in opposition to our motions to strike affirmative defenses and to file their putative motion for summary judgment. It was concluded, with some frustration, by all counsel, that our discovery and preparation for trial at least as to the Game defendants were stalled completely. During this meeting it

(157)

&PO : 1965 OF-214-854

was agreed that we would bring on the motions to which this 1 affidavit is attached and request the specific dates and 2 hearings specified in the attached motions. 3 4. None of the plaintiffs' counsel has received the 4 defendants' status report to the Court due on October 15, 5 6 1972. 5. It is my recollection that at the pretrial con-7 8 ference on May 25, 1972, counsel for the Game defendants 9 indicated that their motion for summary judgment and memoranda 10 in opposition to motions to strike their affirmative defenses 11 would be filed within six weeks. 12 6. None of plaintiffs' counsel have received defend-13 ants' memoranda in opposition to our motions to strike 14 affirmative defenses or their motion for summary judgment. 15 7. At the meeting of plaintiffs' counsel on Novem-16 ber 21, 1972, it was agreed that seven trial days was a 17 realistic estimate as discovery currently stands. 18 8. At a meeting of counsel regarding another case, 19 I expressly told counsel for the Game defendants, J. L. 20 Coniff, that plaintiffs would file the motions attached to 21 this affidavit if we had not received his answers to our 22 Interrogatories prior to November 28, 1972. 23 9. It is plaintiffs counsel's belief that a rigorous 24 and strict schedule through trial is absolutely necessary 25 to prevent further unnecessary and unexcused delays in the 26 progress of this case. Plaintiffs are immediately willing 27 to submit to such a rigorous schedule and it is apparent 28 that such a schedule is absolutely required to keep the 29 defendants moving toward trial. 30 31

Page 2 - Affidavit

32

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me this 30th day of

November, 1972.

No ary Public in and for the State of Washington, residing at Lynnwood.

Page 3 - Affidavit.