University of Washington School of Law

UW Law Digital Commons

70-cv-9213, U.S. v. Washington

Federal District Court Filings

6-4-1973

Docket Entry 243 - Filed Response of Muckleshoot Indian Tribe to Interrogatories propounded by Defendant Washington State Department of Fisheries and affidavit of mailing

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.uw.edu/us-v-wash-70-9213

Recommended Citation

Docket Entry 243 - Filed Response of Muckleshoot Indian Tribe to Interrogatories propounded by Defendant Washington State Department of Fisheries and affidavit of mailing (1973), https://digitalcommons.law.uw.edu/us-v-wash-70-9213/173

This Discovery Documents is brought to you for free and open access by the Federal District Court Filings at UW Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in 70-cv-9213, U.S. v. Washington by an authorized administrator of UW Law Digital Commons. For more information, please contact lawref@uw.edu.

David H. Getches Douglas R. Nash FILED IN THE 2 Native American Rights Fund UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1506 Broadway WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON Boulder, Colorado Telephone (303) 447-8760 JUN 4 1973 David Allen John Sennhauser EDGAR SCOFIELD, CLERK 5 Michael Taylor Legal Services Center 104 1/2 Cherry Street Seattle, Washington 98104 Telephone (206) 622-8125 8 Attorneys for Plaintiffs 9 10 11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 12 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 13 14 15 16 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CIV. NO. 9213 et al, 17 Plaintiffs, 18 RESPONSE OF MUCKLESHOOT vs. 19 INDIAN TRIBE TO INTERROGATORIES STATE OF WASHINGTON, PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT 20 et al. WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF FISHERIES Defendants. 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 Plaintiff Muckleshoot Indian Tribe, pursuant to the 28 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure makes the following responses and 29 | answers to Interrogatories propounded to it by Derenuant 30 Washington State Department of Fisheries. 31 Interrogatories 32

(243)

1. Please identify the governing body of your tribe, stating the names, addresses and telephone numbers of its members and identify its officers, indicating the respective offices they hold.

ANSWER: MUCKLESHOOT INDIAN TRIBAL COUNCIL

Florence Harnden-Chairman-Rt.1 Box 431-Auburn, Wa. 98002-TE3-4825
Charollett Williams-Treasurer-Enumclaw Rd.- 37030 - TE3-4271
Marie Starr-Councilwoman--Rt.1 Box 461-Auburn, Wa. 98002-939-0265
Cecil Moses-Councilman-4421 Enumclaw Hwy.-Auburn Wa. 98002-TE3-2340
Pete Jerry-Councilman-4931 Enumclaw Hwy.-Auburn, Wa. 98002 TE3-2428
Bernice White-Councilwoman-Rt.1 Box 471-Auburn, Wa. 98002 No phone
Leo LaClair-Council Member-Rt.1 Box 478M, Auburn, Wa. 98002 TE5-4076
Leo LaClair-Council Member-Rt.1 Box 501-Enumclaw, Wa. 98022 No phone
Mrs. Norman Eyle-Councilwoman-P.O. Box 501-Enumclaw, Wa. 98022 No phone
Stanley Moses-Councilman-Rt.2 Box 431-Enumclaw, Wa. 98022 No phone

- Has your tribe adopted tribal fishing regulations? If yes, please indicate:
 - (a) Whether such regulations are permanent, annual or temporary

(b) The dates all such regulations were adopted(c) Whether such regulations were approved by the

Bureau of Indian Affairs and the date of its approval.

Please attach copies of all such regulations. (Note: It is not necessary that copies of the following regulations approved by the B.I.A. on the date indicated be furnished.)

Nisqually	10/30/68
Quinault	3/29/69
Squaxin	10/27/67
Makah	7/ 6/70
Muckleshoot	3/6/71
Quilleute	10/11/41
Skokomish	1/21/64
Puyallup	4/17/73
Yakima	3/23/66
Lummi	4/ 9/64

ANSWER:

1.7

[3

YES

- (a) Permanent; reviewed annually or as needed.
- (b) March 6, 1971. See BIA records for dates of previous regulations adopted by tribe, but not now in force.
- (c) See BIA records. No tribal record.

If your tribe has not adopted tribal fishing regulations, does 1 your tribe regulate tribal fishing in any other manner? If yes, describe the manner of regulation. 2 ANSWER: 3 4 Non-Applicable 5 8 9 10 Please identify the committee or governing body responsible for adopting tribal fishing regulations, stating the names, addresses telephone numbers, occupations, and terms of office of its members and identify its officers, indicating the respective offices 11 13 they hold. 14 ANSWER: The Muckleshoot Fish Commission recommends to the Muckleshoot 15 Tribal Council fishing regulations for enactment. Refer to Inter rogatory No. 1 for the names of the governing body. See Answer to Interrogatory 6(c) for term of office. Occupations: 16 i7Florence Harnden ---- works for the tribe. Charollett Williams -- works for the school district. Marie Starr -- -- works for the tribe. 18 Cecil Moses-----fisherman. 19 Pete Jerry-----works for the Auburn school district. Bernice White-----works for the tribe. 20 Leo LaClair-----works for Legal Services. Mrs. Norman Eyle----housewife. 21 Stanley Moses----logger 22 Are any members of the committee or governing body described in Interrogatory No. 4 actively engaged as fishermen, fishermen's helpers, or fish buyers? If yes, please identify them and their 23 respective activity. 24 25 The majority of the governing body and fish commission do fish when the commercial and subsistence fishing seasons are open. 26 Cecil Moses 27 Pete Jerry are fishermen. Leo LaClair 28 Florence Harnden Stanley Moses_ 29 30 31 32 Interrogatories

Are the members of the committee or governing body identified in 1 6. Interrogatory No. 4 appointed or elected? 2 If appointed, by whom are they appointed? If elected, by whom are they elected? (a) (b) 3 What is the length of their term of office? (c) 4 ANSWER: 5 ELECTED 6 (a) Non-Applicable General Council (b) 7 Three year staggered terms (c) Please identify the committee or governing body responsible for 8 formulating tribal fishing regulations. If different from the committee or body responsible for adopting the regulations, 9 please provide as to the formulating committee or body the information requested in Interrogatories Nos. 4, 5 and 6. 10 ANSWER: 11 Muckleshoot Fish Commission formulates tribal fishing regulations. Enrolled members of the tribe can be fish commission 12 members. The names of enrolled members can be secured from the BIA records at the Everett Office. 13 14 15 16 17 Please describe the process by which tribal fishing regulations 8. are enacted. 18 The Muckleshoot Fish Commission formulates the fishing 19 regulations following consultation and review by the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service and Washington State Department of Fisheries, and 20 the Muckleshoot tribal council adopts the regulations by resolution and ordinance. 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 9. 28 Are public hearings held on the tribal fishing regulations prior to their enactment? If yes, please state: 29 Who is eligible to attend and speak at such hearings (a) The dates during the past five years on which such 30 (b) hearings have been held. 31 ANSWER: 32 The Muckleshoot Fish Commission has meetings to formulate (a) 33 fishing regulations and anyone who attends the meetings is allowed We have no records of the dates of these meetings. Interrogatories

1 2 3 4 5 6 10. In the past 5 years has your tribe or anyone acting on its behalf received information, assistance or advice in formulating and/or 7 adopting tribal fishing regulations. If yes, please state: 8 The person(s) or agency(s) providing such information, assistance or advice 9 (b) The date such information, assistance or advice was provided 10 (c) A brief summary of the information, assistance or advice provided
In what manner such information, assistance or 11 (d) advice was relied upon by the committee or bodies 12 which formulated and adopted the regulations. 13 ANSWER: U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service - (James Heckman, Curtis Burley) BIA - (Joe Dywer) (a) 14 Washington Dept. of Fisheries - (Dick Laramie, Art Watkins) STOWW - (Jim Fraser) Tribal Members with expertise. 16 You will have to refer to above named agencies for exact dates. (b) 17 No record is kept by the tribe. 18 Management and regulatory information. Data on run size, effect of other fisheries and potential impact of tribal fishing and con-(c) 19 sideration of other fish harvesting stocks before they arrive at tribal fishing grounds. 20 (d) The significant information was considered in formulating and 21 adopting the tribal fishing regulations. 22 23 Does the tribal governing body, or the committee or body which adopts tribal fishing regulations if not the governing body, have authority to make emergency fishing regulations? If yes, 24 please state: 25 (a) The source of such authority 26 (b) Whether emergency fishing regulations have ever been enacted, indicating: 27 The date of such regulations 28 The source and type of information relied upon in making such regulations 29 Whether such regulations were designed to increase or restrict the number of fish taken 30 The substance of change. 31 ANSWER: YES. 32 Inherent tribal sovereignty; Treaty of Point Elliott; and Constitution and Bylaws for the Muckleshoot Indian Tribe of 33 the Muckleshoot Reservation, Washington, as amended Article VI, Sec. 1(k). YES---(1) July, 1973 Dept. of Fisheries information and consultation with U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service (2)

1 (3)Restrict (4)Closed the Sockeye fishery in Lake Washington 2 3 6 7 Are tribal regulations enacted for both on-reservation and off-8 reservation fishing at usual and accustomed stations? If not, for which are regulations enacted? ANSWER: 10 YES--All inclusive 12 13. 13 Do tribal regulations for reservation fishing differ from tribal regulations for off-reservation fishing? If yes, please explain 14 the difference. 15 ANSWER: NO, they do not differ at the present time. 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 2414. Does the committee or body responsible for formulating tribal fishing regulations consider Washington State Department of 25 Fisheries regulations? If yes, what consideration is given state regulations and in what manner do state regulations 26 affect tribal regulations? 27 ANSWER: YES - the tribe needs to know how the state divides up fish. The state has not generally regulated other fisheries suf-28 ficiently to allow enough salmon for the tribal fishery or spawning escapement. 29 30 31 32 33

Interrogatories

15. In formulating tribal fishing regulations, are estimates of I predicted run size utilized? If yes, please state: 2 The source of such information 3 The data relied upon (b) When such estimates have been utilized. 4 ANSWER: YES 5 Washington State Dept. of Fisheries, tribal test fishery, and interpretations of the data by U.S. Fish & Wildlife (a) 6 Service. Information from all sources in part (a). (b) During consideration of all fisheries and fishery regulations (c) 8 in recent years. 9 Has your tribe or anyone acting on its behalf ever requested information from the Washington State Department of Fisheries 11 and had such request denied? If yes, please state: 12 The name of the person making such request The date of such request (b) 13 The person denying such request, if known The reason given for such denial (d) Whether such denial was brought to the attention of the Director of the Department of Fisheries by 15 . the tribe. 16 ANSWER: YES. Dermice White sent a letter to Stu Delaney but did not receive a response. Stu indicated he did not get her letter until 17 he ran across it by accident. We have no record of other specific written requests for information which were ignored. However, 18 verbal requests during meetings with the Department concerning expected Chinook returns to Green River Hatchery were answered 19 with misleading responses in 1971 and 1972. Records of other incidents are not available, but should be 20 in department files. 2122 Who has responsibilities for enforcing your tribal fishing regulations? 23 (a) On the reservation Off the reservation. (b) ANSWER: 25 Conservation designatee - hired by tribe. (a) 26 Conservation designatee - hired by tribe. 27 28 Does your tribe employ, appoint, or in any way designate enforcement officers to enforce tribal fishing regulations? If yes, 18. 20 please state for each person who has held such position for the last two years: 30 His name (a) 31 (b) His address (c) His telephone number 32 (d) The period(s) he has been so employed The scope of his enforcement authority
The geographical area of his enforcement activity (e) 33 (f)The number of arrests made or citations issued for violation of tribal fishing regulations

(h) Whether such arrests; were made for violations on or off the reservation. ANSWER: Gilbert King George (a) Delbert Starr 824 "B" St., S.E., Auburn, Wa. Rt.1, Box 459, Auburn, Wa. (b) (c) 939-0703 none 1972 - present 1971 (d) applies all tribal fishing regulations to members applies all tribal fishing (e) regulations to members all usual and accustomed all usual and accustomed (f) fishing places on and off fishing places on and off reservation reservation (g) none two warnings given Non-Applicable (h) Non-Applicable If an illegal fishing activity is observed by an enforcement officer, what procedure is followed by the officer? ANSWER: A warning is given. Further problems are referred to tribal council. Who or what tribal entity sits in judgment of: An alleged Indian violator (a) (b) An alleged non-Indian violator. ANSWER: tribal council state authorities and courts With regard to the person or entity described in Interrogatory No. 20, please state (a) The name, address and telephone number of each person holding such position for the past five years The mannr of his appointment (b) (c) The term of his office (d) Whether such position is a full time or part time responsibility Whether such person(s) actively engaged in the tribal fishery as a fisherman, fisherman's helper or fish buyer. (e) ANSWER: (a),(b),(c),&(e) Tribal Council - see Answers to Nos. 2,5, & 6. (d) part-time What are the penalties for violation of tribal fishing regulations? ANSWER: See question No. 19 and regulations adopted 3/6/71

1

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

31

32

33

€3• 1

Interrogatories

(a)

(b)

21.

(a)

(b)

(c)

(d)

(e)

(f)

(g)

(h)

1 Please list each incident within the last two years when such 23. penalties have been imposed, stating: 2 The name of the person penalized The date the penalty was imposed 3 (b) (c) The penalty imposed. 4 ANSWER: 5 There have been incidents but no written records of the 6 person(s) involved have been kept by the tribe. 7 8 9 10 11 12 Are tribal fishermen required to register with tribal authorities or obtain a tribal license to fish in the reservation fishery? If yes, please state: 13 14 (a) The number of registrations or licenses issued during the last five years 15 Whether a fee is charged. 16 ANSWER: The BIA has this record. 17 (b) No. 18 19 25. Are tribal fishermen required to register with tribal authorities or obtain a tribal license to fish in usual and accustomed sta-20 tions off the reservation? If yes, please state: 21 The number of registrations or licenses issued during the last five years 22 Whether a fee is charged. (b) 23 ANSWER: YES 24 See BIA records. No tribally kept records. Not for the BIA cards. (a) 25 26. Are tribal fishermen required to pay a tax on fish caught in the tribal fishery? 26 Tribal fish tax has been charged in the past, but not now. ANSWER: 27 28 27. Upon what basis does your tribe determine whether a particular individual is qualified to fish in: 29 Your reservation Indian fishery 30 In any areas off your reservation for which your tribe (Ն) has enacted fishing regulations. 31 ANSWER: 32 See fish regulations adopted 3/6/64. Tribal membership. (a) Fish regulations adopted 3/6/64. 33 Tribal membership. Interrogatories

Is a non-Indian fishery allowed on your tribe's reservation? 1 28. If yes, please state: Whether such fishery is commercial or sport Any catch statistics you have of catches in such fishery (a) 3 (b) For which of the last five years has such fishery been (c) 4 in operation (d) To the extent that regulations of such fishery are different from any provided above, please include copies of all regulations enacted for such fishery 5 6 Whether non-Indians participating in such fishery are required to register with the tribe or obtain (e) 7 a tribal license Whether a fee is charged to fish in such fishery. (f) 8 ANSWER: 9 NO 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 Please identify all Washington statutes and State Department of Fisheries' Regulations which regulate Indian fishing which 29. 20 you believe are not reasonable and necessary for purposes of conservation, stating for each regulation so identified the 21 reasons why such regulation is not necessary for conservation. 22 ANSWER: The Muckleshoot Tribe takes the position that all statutes and regulations of the State of Washington which purport to regulate, 23 control, restrict or prohibit in any way, fishing by tribal members at their usual and accustomed off reservation fishing places are 24 in violation of their treaty rights in that none of the current statutes or regulations have been determined by a federal court to be "necessary" to prevent destinction of the fishery resource which 25 destinction could not be prevented by regulation, restriction 26 or prohibition of non-Indian fishing and would not be prevented by tribal regulation. 27 28 29 30 31 32

Interrogatories

Please identify, giving geographical descriptions, all off-30. reservation usual and accustomed fishing stations guaranteed your tribe by treaty with the United States government. ANSWER: It is impossible to identify specifically all "stations" at this time. Indians of our tribe fished at various places throughout the Puget Sound drainage, and thus, we claim treaty rights to fish at such places today. Do any other Indian tribes occupy the same usual and accustomed fishing stations identified in Interrogatory No. 30? If yes, 31. please state: (a) The stations The names of all tribes whose usual and accustomed (b) fishing station they are (c) Whether any agreements have ever been entered into between your tribe and any other tribe regarding such stations (d) (e) The nature and terms or conditions of such agreements When such agreements were entered into. ANSWER: YES (a) See Answer to No. 30. (b) All treaty tribes of Western Washington. None that we are presently aware of. (c) (d) Non-Applicable Non-Applicable (e) 32. Does your tribe claim legal jurisdiction to enforce tribal fishing regulations at your usual and accustomed stations off your reservation? If yes, please state: The source of such authority (b) The persons over whom such authority is claimed. <u>ANSWER:</u> YES Inherent sovereignty or the tribe; Treaty of Point Elliott; Constitution and Bylaws for the Muckleshoot Indian Tribe of the Muckleshoot Reservation, Washington, as amended. All persons. (b)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

Interrogatories

.33. Has your tribe developed a fisheries resource management program? If yes, please describe in detail this program. 2. ANSWER: YES The tribe's program consists of: Habitat Protection. The tribe attempts to limit and control flood control, polluting sources, and power development and operation activities affecting its fisheries. This is done in cooperation with federal agencies, through litigation and administrative appeals, and direct negotiation with private industries and state, federal, and local governing entities. Improvement of Existing Fisheries. Tribe has a representative 2) on the White River Fish Improvement Committee. There has been cooperation with the Department of Fisheries in test fisheries. See also answer to No. 34. Tribal Regulation and Control. See Fish Regulations adopted 3/6/71 and answers to Nos. 2,7,8,9,10,11,14,15,17,18,24, and 25. 34. Has your tribe developed a fisheries resource enhancement pro-If yes, please describe in detail this program. ANSWER: YES. The fisheries resource management program described in the answer to No. 33 is designed to enchance the tribe's fish-In addition, the following program has been developed: Stocking. Reservation waters have been stocked with catchable trout and migrant size salmon since 1965 by the tribe in cooperation with the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service and Washington State Department of Fisheries. Hatchery Study. A study to determine the feasibility of a fish hatchery on the reservation has been undertaken by the ·U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service in cooperation with the tribe. The study began in 1972. Study of Fishery Enhancement Possibilities. The tribe commissioned a study of the possibility of enchancing tribal fisheries. A preliminary study only has been prepared by Dr. Ernest Salo. If your tribe has received information, assistance or advice in 35. developing either of these programs from any source, please state: (a) The person, agency or entity providing such information, assistance or advice (b) The dates when the same was provided (c) The type of information, assistance or advice provided. ANSWER: (b) (a) 1. U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service 1965 to present Assistance in fish stocking program. See Answers to Nos. 33 and 34. 2. Washington State Dept. of 1971 to present See Answers to Nos. 33 and 34. Fisheries Study of Fisheries 1971 to present 3. Dr. Ernest Salo Enhancement, see Answers to Nos. 33 and 34. 4. White River Fish 1966 to present See Answers to Improvement Committee Nos. 33 and 34. Interrogatories

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

10

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

Please provide all records and data maintained by your tribe with regard to its fishery resource management or enhancement programs 36. 2 with respect to the following: 3 Spawning ground escapement counts Catch statistics (b) ŀ Hatchery plantings Juvenile out-migration counts (c) (e) 5 Stream environment conditions Type of gear fished
Units of gear fished
Locations of gear fished including site registration
Efficiency of gear fished
Deticate of total fish populations 6 Estimates of total fish populations 8 Any evaluations or studies made for the tribe with regard to the above requested information and data. 9 If attaching copies of such information or data would be burden-10 some, please indicate where and when a representative of the Department of Fisheries could examine such data. If such infor-11 mation or data is in the possession of the United States government, please indicate what agency has possession and give your 12 consent to have a representative of the Department of Fisheries examine such data. 13 ANSWER: No such records are maintained by the tribe. However, the 14 following records will provide some fo the information requested: 15 U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service records provided as an exhibit (1)to deposition of James Heckman, April 25-26, 1973. 16 Records of U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service and Washington Dept. (2) of Fisheries relating to plantings, catch, and evaluations and studies. Dr. Ernest Salo's Study (preliminary only) (3) 18. 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 37. Please state the number of families in your tribe that rely on your tribal fishery to provide them with salmon for their per-26 sonal consumption. 27 ANSWER: Virtually, all enrolled members of the tribe utilize some fish 28 from the tribal fishery for personal diet. 29 38. Please state, for each family, the average number of pounds of salmon (or average number of salmon) caught in your tribal fishery and used for their personal consumption for each of the last five years. 31 ANSWER: 32 Do not have records to answer question. 33 Interrogatories

Please state the species of salmon taken in your tribal fishery for the personal consumption of tribal members and the percentage that each special contributes to the total number of fish caught 39. for personal consumption of tribal members. All varieties of salmon, including steelhead; no records available as to percentage of each. Please identify all tribal ceremonies in which salmon are used, 40. stating: Name of the ceremony Date of the ceremony (a) (b) (c) Role of salmon in the ceremony The average number of pounds of salmon (or average number of salmon) needed for the ceremony
The average number of pounds of salmon (or average number of salmon) caught in the tribal fishery for each such (d) (e) ceremony for the last five years, specifying what percentage of the fish were caught on the reservation and what percentage off the reservation (£) The approximate average attendance at each such ceremony for the last five years. Traditional Indian ceremonies are rarely practiced anymore. ANSWER: This is due in part to the repression of much of the tribe's efforts to fish during the last century which has resulted in fewer available fish and some breakdown of tribal life which is traditionally centered around fishing. Much of the reason for such cermonies (such as the first salmon ceremony celebrating the beginning of the salmon harvest) has consequently been diluted. The tribe considers it inappropriate to disclose complete details of such traditional ceremonies. Revival of traditional ceremonies will probably depend on the shility of tribal members to exercise their fishing rights in the future. At the present time, salmon is served, when available, at weddings, funerals, cultural activities, feasts, and other activities and celebrations in amounts sufficient to feed those attending. What is the number of tribal members who rely exclusively on fishing to provide their annual income? The reduced size and unreliability of runs today due to AMSWER: None. increased non-Indian harvest and man-made activities and projects coupled with repressive regulation of off reservation treaty fishing makes this impossible. What is the number of tribal members who do not rely exclusively on fishing to provide their annual income but for whom fishing provides more than 50% of their annual income? See answer to No. 41 None. What is the total number of tribal fishermen? Nearly all members consider themselves "fishermen". has records of those holding permits. What is the total number of enrolled members of your tribe? ANSWER: See BIA enrollment records. Interrogatories

ž

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

30

31

32

What is the average annual income of the tribal members accounted for in Interrogatories 38 and 39 respectively? ANSWER: Per capita income for all tribal members is approximately \$557.00. BIA has this on record. No other records are available. DATED this 30 day of May, 1973. Florence Harnden, Chairman Tribal Council of the Muckleshoot Indian Tribe STATE OF WASHINGTON) SS. COUNTY OF Florence Harnden, being first duly sworn, says: That she is the Chairman of the Muckleshoot Indian Tribal Council and as such is authorized to answer the foregoing Interrogatories on behalf of the plaintiff Muckleshoot Tribe, that she has read and made answer to the foregoing Interrogatories, and that she knows the contents and believes the answers to them to be true. Subscribed and sworn before me this 30 day of May, 1973.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

川崎 4 1973 1 AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE EDGAR SCOFIELD, CLERK 2 3 STATE OF COLORADO SS. 4 COUNTY OF BOULDER 5 I, Mayredean C. Palmer, certify that on this date I 6 placed in the United States Mail, envelopes with postage prepaid 7 containing one copy each of: 8 RESPONSE OF MUCKLESHOOT INDIAN TRIBE TO 9 INTERROGATORIES PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF FISHERIES 10 addressed to each of the following: 11 Mr. Joseph L. Coniff. 12 Assistant Attorney General Department of Game 13 600 N. Capitol Way Olympia, Washington 98501 14 Mr. Earl McGimpsey Assistant Attorney General 15 Fifth Floor Highways-Licenses Building Olympia, Washington 98504 16 17 18 Dated: May 31, 1973 19 20 21 Mayredean 22 Subscribed and sworn before me 23 this 31st day of May, 1973. 24 25 26 Public in and County and State for said

27

28

29

30

31