University of Washington School of Law

UW Law Digital Commons

70-cv-9213, U.S. v. Washington

Federal District Court Filings

11-5-1973

Docket Entry 384 - Lodged Yakima Nation's Proposed Findings of fact

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.uw.edu/us-v-wash-70-9213

Recommended Citation

Docket Entry 384 - Lodged Yakima Nation's Proposed Findings of fact (1973), https://digitalcommons.law.uw.edu/us-v-wash-70-9213/282

This Other is brought to you for free and open access by the Federal District Court Filings at UW Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in 70-cv-9213, U.S. v. Washington by an authorized administrator of UW Law Digital Commons. For more information, please contact lawref@uw.edu.

LODORD

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

NOV 51973

EDGAR SCOFIELD, CLERK

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

QUINAULT TRIBE OF INDIANS on its own behalf and on behalf of the QUEETS BAND OF INDIANS; MAKAH INDIAN TRIBE; LUMMI INDIAN TRIBE; HOH TRIBE OF INDIANS; MUCKLESHOOT INDIAN TRIBE; SQUAXIN ISLAND TRIBE OF INDIANS; SAUK-SUIATTLE INDIAN TRIBE; SKOKOMISH INDIAN TRIBE; CONFEDERATED TRIBES AND BANDS OF THE YAKIMA INDIAN NATION; UPPER SKAGIT RIVER TRIBE; STILLAGUAMISH TRIBE OF INDIANS; and QUILEUTE INDIAN TRIBE;

Intervenor-Plaintiffs,

CIVIL NO. 9213

YAKIMA NATION'S PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT

STATE OF WASHINGTON,

Defendant,

THOR G. TOLLEFSON, Director, Washington State Department of Fisheries; CARL CROUSE, Director, Washington Department of Game; and WASHINGTON STATE GAME COMMISSION; and WASHINGTON REEF NET OWNERS ASSOCIATION,

Intervenor-Defendants.

The attached proposed Findings of Fact are submitted pursuant to the Court's direction of September 18, 1973, as modified by the Court's memorandum of October 12, 1973. The proposed Findings of Fact are alternative Findings to those Findings concerning the Yakima Indian Nation that are included in the

TRANSMITTAL OF YAKIMA PROPOSED FINDINGS - Page 1

1056 38#

8 9

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

-

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2223

24

2526

28

27

2930

31

Findings of Fact being separately submitted on behalf of all Plaintiffs. Dated this 31st day of October, 1973. TRANSMITTAL OF YAKIMA PROPOSED FINDINGS - Page 2

On behalf of JAMES B. HOVIS, Counsel for the Yakima Nation George D. Dysart Assistant Regional Solicitor Of Counsel for the United States

YAKIMA INDIAN NATION

The Yakima Indian Nation is a recognized tribe of American Indians. Said tribe was created by the Treaty with the Yakimas and occupies a reservation known as the Yakima Indian Reservation, located in south central Washington. The treaty merged the confederated tribes or bands named in its preamble into the newly formed Yakima Nation and that confederated Yakima Nation became the successor in interest to the formerly separate tribal entities and all the rights of the formal tribal entities were merged as of March 8, The preamble of the treaty reads as follows: 1859.

> "Articles of agreement and convention made and concluded at the freaty ground, Camp Stevens, Walla Walla Valley, this ninth day of June, in the year one thousand eight hundred and fifty five, by and between Isaac I. Stevens, governor and superintendent of Indian Affairs for the Territory of Washington, on the part of the United States, and the undersigned head chief, chiefs, headmen and delegates of the Yakama, Palouse, Pisquouse, Wenatshapam, Klikatat, Klinquit, Kow-Wasisay-ee, Li-ay-was, Skin-pah, Wishham, Shyiks, Oche-chotes, Kah-milt-pah, and Se-ap-cat, confederated tribes and bands of Indians, occupying lands hereinafter bounded and described and lying "Articles of agreement and convention made and lands hereinafter bounded and described and lying in Washington Territory, who for the purposes of this treaty are to be considered as one nation, under the name of 'Yakama', with Kamiakun as its head chief, on behalf of and acting for said tribes and bands, and being duly authorized thereto by them. "

The readily identifiable treaty tribes and bands confederated into the Yakima Indian Nation have the following modern names and are classified as follows:

- The Salish speaking tr g tribes:
 - 1. Chelan
 - Entiat
 - 3. Wenatchee
 - Columbia

FINDINGS OF FACT

Page 1

÷

- 6

- 7

9

10

-- 11

12

1.

14

15

16

18

19

-20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

20

30

31

32

HOVIS. COCKRILL & ROY P. O. Box 437 YAKIMA, WASHINGTON 98907 quite fine and packing the resultant mass in containers lined with fish skin. In this process oil was used where available and the oil from male steelhead was used for this purpose. Because of the monotony of this fish diet, variety in the kind of salmon and other fish caught was a desired goal. [FPTO S3-102, page 53]

With the exception of the spear, gaff and like gear which to a great extent depended on the skill and dexterity of the individual operator, methods used by these Yakima Indians to land salmon and steelhead were very efficient. These Yakima Indians... used traps, weirs, net gillnets, baskets, seines to land salmon and steelhead. They were proficient in the manufacture of strong twine from native materials [FPTO S3-103, pg. 54]

Indians from the Yakima Nation and particularly those from the Yakima, Klickitat, Wenatchee, Columbia, Chelan, Entiat, and Kittitas aboriginal groups communicated continually with the tribes on Puget Sound by the use of the Snoqualmie, Naches and Stevens Passes as weather permitted. This continual communication created bilingualism, custom interchange, intermarriage, and utilization of the natural resources in the Puget In the main this communication and intermarriage Sound area. was with the tribes now considered Nisqually, Puyallup, Muckleshoot [FPTO S3-104, pg. 54] and Snoqualmie.

The Yakimas in the Puget Sound area were intermarried as far North as the Skokomish and controlled them to a certain extent. Gibbs in his treaty time census placed approximately 400 Yakimas located in this area. [Ex.Y-15a and 15b, Tr. 2133, 1. 1-10]

FINDINGS OF FACT

Page 3

1.

2

· 3

6

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18,

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

32

316 N. 3ED STREET P. O. BOX 437

These Indians of the Yakima Nation used fisheries located in the Puget Sound area for the purpose of obtaining salmon and steelhead for their use. They took these fish there by the consent of the tribes in that region. Since there was more intermarriage and communication with those Indians now called Nisqually, Puyallup Muckleshoot, and Snoqualmie, fisheries in their area of residence were more commonly used by members of the Yakima Indian Nation. These fisheries in the area of this case's inquiry included the waters of the Snoqualmie, Snohomish, Green, Puyallup, Nisqually, Stuck, Duwamish, White, Carbon, and Black Rivers and their tributaries. [FP70 S3-105, pg. 55] That at treaty times Indians in the case area were making approximately 95% of the landings of salmon and steelhead. The portion of these fish landed by Yakima Indians were consumed by them or traded to others. (Ex. Y-13, pg. 9)

Superintendent of Indian Affairs of the territory of Washington shortly after it was organized by the Act of March 2, 1853 (10 Stat. 172). He had been in charge of the federal surveys for a railroad to the Facific on the Northern route. Stevens had selected Captain George B. McClellan as commander of the Western Division of the Northern Pacific Railroad exploration party. Georg Gibbs, as secretary for this party, recorded information about the Indian tribes in this area in preparation for the execution of treaties with the Indians in the area of the tribes which later formed the Yakima Nation under the Yakima Treaty. This report, which is dated March 4, 1854, clearly indicated that the tribes of the Yakima Treaty ceded area were friendly to the Indians of the

FINDINGS OF FACT

Page 4

1

2

8

5

б

7.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

1

HOVIS, COCKRILL & ROY 316 N. 3RD STREET P. O. BOX 437 YAKIMA, WASHINGTON 98907 Tel: 453-3165 Puget Sound, bilingual, and intermarried with one another, and communicated regularly to this Puget Sound area. [FPTO S3-106, Ex. P1-9, pg. 55]

- Thereafter on August 30, 1854, the Acting Commissioner of Indian Affairs gave written instructions to Governor Isaac I.

 Stevens directing him to negotiate treaties with the Indian tribes, bands, and groups of Washington Territory for the extinguishment of thier title to land in their territory and conveying his principal concern that this be done as rapidly and economically as possible. [PPTO S3-107, Ex. Pl-1, pg. 56]
- Agents of Governor Stevens made preliminary contact with the Indians that comprised the Yakima Indian Nation on May 29, 1855. The Yakima Chiefs attended at council and listened to an explanation of the treaty terms. This discussion continued from day to day until June 9, 1855 while Governor Stevens explained to the tribes that the Indians were to cede their vast land holdings and move to a reservation. Provisions for this off-reservation treaty food gathering and grazing were written into the Yakima Treaty in Article III thereof.

Treaty with the Yakimas (Article 3).

"The exclusive right of taking fish in all the streams, where running through or bordering said reservation, is further secured to said confederated tribes and bands of Indians, as also the right of taking fish at all usual and accustomed places, in common with the citizens of the Territory, and of crecting temporary buildings for curing them; together with the privilege of hunting, gathering roots and berries, and pasturing their horses and cattle upon open and unclaimed land."
[FPTO S3-108, Ex. Y-1, Y-2, Y-3, Y-4, Y-5, Y-6, pg. 56]

FINDINGS OF FACT

Page 5

. 5

-12

3

9

LAW OFFICES OF HOVIS, COCKRILL & ROY 316 N. 3RD STREET P. O. BOX 437
YAKIMA. WASHINGTON 98907
TEL: 453-3165

10. There had been little non Indian contact with the Yakimas prior to the treaty negotiations. [Ex. Y-26, pg. 7-8, Tr. 2496] These Yakimas spoke three different languages Sahaptin, Salish [Ex. Y-26, p. 7] In addition to the three and Ch nookan. language groups among the Yakimas they had many dialects in the two principal language groups. [Ex. Y-26, pg. 7, Tr. 3383] three language groups were difficult but Chinookan was the most [Tr. 3388-91, Tr. 2459] The treaty negotiations were translated from English or one of these three groups or numerous dialects into Chinook jargon [Ex. Y-26, pg. 9, Ex. D-1 pg. 24] which has a limited vocabulary and contained none of the words "citizens", "territory", "state", "regulations", "commercial", and "usual and accustomed". (Ex., Y-21, Tr. This jargon was used principally for trade purposes and was inadequate to convey the legal concepts involved. [Tr. 2460 Tr. 3392-3396, Ex. D-1, pg.

The Yakima Indians at the Walla Walla Council 11. grounds where the Yakima Treaty was negotiated in 1855, were promised by the United States treaty commissioners that the non Indians would never dominate or monopolize the fisheries [Tr. 3371] and United States negotiators did promise and the Indians did understand that the Yakimas would forever be able to continue the same off reservation food gathering and fishing practices as to time, place, method, species and extent as they had or were exer cising [Ex. Y-13, pg. 8, Ex. Y-26, pg. 8-9, Tr. 3371, Tr. 3295-97] The Yakima Indians relied on these promises and they formed a material and basic part of the Yakima Treaty and the Indians understanding of the meaning of the Treaty. 3295-97] pg. 8, Ex. Y-26, pg. 8, Tr.

FINDINGSTOFFACT

Page 6

.10

11

12

13

14

15

:16

17

18

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

81

32

· † · j

LAW OFFICES OF HOVIS, COCKRILL & ROY 316 N. SRD STREET P. O. BOX 437 YAKIMA, WASHINGTON 98907 TEL: 453.8165

Treaty the Yakima Indians continued to fish as they had. During territorial times officials of the United States and Washington. Territory took the position that the off reservation fisheries were those the Yakima Indians had exercised at treaty or pre treaty times. These officials took the position that the words of the Yakima Treaty reserved to the Yakima Indians "the right to enjoy all of these fisheries as they had heretofore". [Ex. Y-23, pg. 10] The Supreme Court of Washington Territory not only sustained this interpretation of the treaty but took notice as a matter of common knowledge that the Yakima Indians were "tenacious" in adhering to these past customs and traditions. [Ex. Y-23, pg. 10]

off reservation fishing rights [Tr. 610-615] including fisheries in the case area. [Tr. 3321] The salmon and steelhead landed were consumed or sold commercially. [Ex. Y-13, pg. 9, Tr. 3321] While there was no statewide enforcement of state regulations against Yakima Indians fishing in conformity with tribal regulations until 1966, after that time arrests of Yakima Indians in the case area caused Yakima fishermen to decrease their fishing effort in the case area. [Ex. Y-13, pg. 9] The Yakima Nation has not encouraged its fishermen to fish in the case area as time and money limitations would not allow the tribe to protect its fishermen from conviction though the tribe's efforts had been successful as regarded other state arrests. [Ex. Y-13, pg. 9-10]

The Yakima off reservation fisheries are regulated. Prior to 1953, and thereafter in areas where the fishing was not

FINDINGS OF FACT

Page. 7

.12

6

 $\mathbf{2}9$

HOVIS, COCKRILL & ROY
316 N. 3RD STREET
P. O. BOX 437
YAKIMA. WASHINGTON 98907
TEL: 453-3165

intensive, by regulation by Indain leaders at the site. [bx. Y-13, pg. 10, Tr. 3307-08] Since 1953 the Yakima Nation has regulated by written regulations. [Ex. Y-13, pg. 11] These regulations provide for annual review [Tr. 3291], emergency closures, off reservation enforcement and identification cards. 3335] Where untended gear is used this is likewise identified by tags issued by the Yakima Nation. [Ex. Jx2bp, Tr. 3328-3329] Yakima Nation through its Tribal Council and its Fish, Wildlife, Law and Order Committee gathers biological and other information and holds meetings with its fishermen and others interested before setting seasons or passing other conservation regulations. pg. 2, pg. 11, Tr. 1618-19] This tribal regulation is enforced by a large law and order department and the annual budget in this area exceeds \$400,000.00. [Ex. Y-26, pg. 1, Tr. 3300] Fishermen are expected to respect the regulations of the tribes in the area [Ex. Y-13, pg. 11] Should further regulation be necessary it is the intent of the Yakima Nation to confer with other tribes in the area for the purpose of joint regulation but if they did not protect the fishery then the Yakima Nation's expressed intention is to pass conservation regulations of its own covering the case area. [Tr. 3303-3305] It is likewise the expressed policy of the Yakima Nation in its scheme of regulation to provide for commercial, subsistence and ceremonial landings of salmon and steel head by net where the fishery will sustain the pressure. in its opinion the fishery will not sustain the pressure, it is its announced policy to provide for the following priorities: (1) ceremonial landings; (2) subsistence landings and (3) commercial landings. [Tr. 946-7] The Yakima Nation has had successful experiences in joint tribal regulation on the Columbia River [Tr. 3329] and joint regulation with the state and federal

FINDINGS OF FACT

Page 8

5

ß

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24:

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

HOVIS, COCKRILL & ROY

government on the Klickitat River. [Ex. Y-13, pg. 11-12] under Yakima Tribal regulation, by custom or written law, the exercise of this tribal off reservation right by Yakima Indians in the case area has not and does not exceed the extent either in species, amount, time, method and use as was exercised by Yakimas at treaty or pre treaty times or in the immediate past. [FPTO S3-101 3-102, pg. 53, Ex. Y-13, pg. 14] That these Yakima landings in the case area have not jcopardized the continued existence of the fish resource or jeopardized "conservation" no matter how it is defined and there is no need to regulate Yakima fishermen by other than tribal regulation. [Tr. 3340-3341, Tr. 1504, Tr. 958-60] Yakima tribal regulation of Yakima fishermen at usual and accustomed places in the case area is an internal tribal matter [Tr. 291, 292, 293, 295, 960] and desirable.

The Yakimas continue as a religious rite not only the first salmon ceremony but the basic undying salmon culture herein, described as existing in this northwest area nad this religious concept of the interdependence and relatedness of all living things is a dominant feature of their conservation program.

[Ex. Y-13, pg. 7, Tr. 3297, 3343, 3348-3370, 3402, Tr. 2467-8]

dependent on anadromous fish to sustain their way of life.

[Ex. Y-13, pg. 13-14, Y-10, pg. 28-34, Y-12, pg. 7] These Yakima Indians live close to the poverty level and have not reached economic or social parity with other citizens of the State of Washington. [Ex. Y-13, pg. 4, Y-10, pg. 16-28, Y-9, pg. 2-8, Y-8, pg. 1-4] Anadromous fish are vital to the Indians diet with approximately 2,000 of the chrolled members fishing for that

FINDINGS OF FACT

Page 9

6

8

9

.10

11

:12

13

-15

16

17

18

19

- 20

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

LAW OFFICES OF HOVIS, COCKRILL & ROY 316 N. 3RD STREET P. O. BOX 437 YAKIMA, WASHINGTON 98907 TEL: 453-3165 purpose. Approximately four hundred fish for a livelihood and the important role that anadromous fish play in the religious life of the Yakimas is practically universal. [Y-13, pg. 13-14, 4, Tr. 3322-3326]

FINDINGS OF FACT
Page 10

LAW OFFICES OF
HOVIS, COCKRILL & ROY
316 N. 3RD STREET
P. O. BOX 437
YAKIMA, WASHINGTON 98907
TEL: 453-3165