University of Washington School of Law UW Law Digital Commons

70-cv-9213, U.S. v. Washington

Federal District Court Filings

2-12-1974

Docket Entry 413 - Filed and entered Declaratory Judgment and Decree

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.uw.edu/us-v-wash-70-9213

Recommended Citation

Docket Entry 413 - Filed and entered Declaratory Judgment and Decree (1974), https://digitalcommons.law.uw.edu/us-v-wash-70-9213/306

This Judgment is brought to you for free and open access by the Federal District Court Filings at UW Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in 70-cv-9213, U.S. v. Washington by an authorized administrator of UW Law Digital Commons. For more information, please contact lawref@uw.edu.

· ·			
· · ·			
1	STREETS ON THEFT		
2	PARTED STATES DISTRICT CONVALUENCE ON		
3	FEB 1 _ 1974		
4	EDGAR SEOFILD, CLERX		
5	By Deput/		
6			
7 8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9		WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,		
11) Plaintiff,	CIVIL NO. 9213	
12) QUINAULT TRIBE OF INDIANS on its own) behalf and on behalf of the QUEETS)	HON. GEORGE H. BOLDT SENIOR UNITED STATES	
13	BAND OF INDIANS; MAKAH INDIAN TRIBE;) LUMMI INDIAN TRIBE; HOH TRIBE OF)	DISTRICT JUDGE PRESIDING	
14	INDIANS; MUCKLESHOOT INDIAN TRIBE;) SQUAXIN ISLAND TRIBE OF INDIANS;)		
15	SAUK-SUIATTLE INDIAN TRIBE;) SKOKOMISH INDIAN TRIBE; CONFEDERATED)	DECLARATORY	
16	TRIBES AND BANDS OF THE YAKIMA) INDIAN NATION; UPPER SKAGIT RIVER)	JUDGMENT AND DECREE	
17	TRIBE; STILLAGUAMISH TRIBE OF INDIANS;) and QUILEUTE INDIAN TRIBE;		
18	Intervenor-Plaintiffs,		
19	v.		
20 21	STATE OF WASHINGTON,)	
21	Defendant,		
23	THOR C. TOLLEFSON, Director, Washington State Department of Fisheries; CARL		
24	CROUSE, Director, Washington Department of Game; and WASHINGTON STATE GAME COM-		
25	MISSION; and WASHINGTON REEF NET OWNERS ASSOCIATION,)	
26	Intervenor-Defendants	ý)	
27			
28			
29			
30 31			
31	DECLARATORY JUDGMENT AND DECREE - #1.		
FPI-Sandstone			
3-19-73-100341005			
		(473) 1515	
		- 1010	

This judgment and decree is based upon the Findings of Fact, Agreed Facts, Conclusions of Law and Decision of the Court entered in this case, all of which by this reference are hereby made a part hereof as though set forth in full herein, and close and detailed consideration by the Court. No language herein shall be interpreted as superseding the Decision of the Court, which shall control if in any respect it appears to be in conflict with any Finding herein.

DECLARATORY JUDGMENT AND DECREE

In order clearly to delineate the off-reservation fishing rights held by certain Indian entities in this district under treaties made with the United States, it is hereby

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the right of each of the plaintiff tribes in this case to harvest anadromous fish in waters within the Western District of Washington, outside the boundaries of Indian reservations and areas of exclusive federal jurisdiction, is declared to be as follows:

18

19

20

 $\mathbf{21}$

22

23

24

25

 $\mathbf{26}$

27

28

29

30

31

32

17

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

A. <u>Definitions</u>

All definitions contained in the Glossary of Terms of the Joint Biological Statement (Exhibit JX-2a) are hereby incorporated by reference. In addition and specifically for the purposes of interpreting all provisions of this decree, the following definitions shall be controlling:

1. <u>Anadromous fish</u>: Any fish which spawns or is artificially produced in freshwater, reaches mature size while rearing in saltwater and returns to freshwater to reproduce, and which spends any portion of its life cycle in waters within the Western District of Washington.

2. Adequate production escapement: In an approximate number of anadromous fish, that level of escapement from each

DECLARATORY JUDGMENT AND DECREE - #2.

FFI-Sandstons 3-19-73—100**15**—1006

fishery which will produce viable offspring in numbers to fully utilize all natural spawning grounds and propagation facilities reasonable and necessary for conservation of the resource, as defined in the Decision of the court.

3. <u>Harvestable stock</u>: The approximate number of anadromous fish which is surplus beyond adequate production escapement and Indian needs as defined in the Decision; that is, the number remaining when the adequate production escapement and Indian needs are subtracted from the run size.

4. To preserve and maintain the resource: Upon a full consideration of (a) the history of State anadromous fish management, (b) the level of catch within the Western District of Washington in recent years, (c) the quality of freshwater and artificial production environments, (d) the most recent facts and data concerning anadromous fish production potential, (e) the potential for interspecific competition, and (f) the prospects for improvement of anadromous fish production, to perpetuate the runs of anadromous fish at least at their current level.

5. <u>Run</u>: A group of anadromous fish on its return migration, identified by species, race and water of origin.

6. <u>State</u>: The State of Washington, its agents, officers, agencies, assigns and subdivisions.

7. <u>Stevens' treaties</u>: Those treaties identified in the findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law as having been negotiated between Isaac I. Stevens, for the United States, and certain Indian tribes and bands who lived in Washington Territory during the 1850's.

DECLARATORY JUDGMENT AND DECREE - #3.

FFI-Sandstone 3-19-73-100M---1006

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

 $\mathbf{24}$

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

8. <u>Treaty Tribe</u>: One of the Indian entities described in paragraph 10 below, or any other entity entitled to exercise treaty fishing rights under the treaties construed herein within the Western District of Washington.

9. Usual and accustomed places: Those areas in, on and around the freshwater and saltwater areas within the Western District of Washington, which were understood by the Indian parties to the Stevens' treaties to be embraced within the treaty terms "usual and accustomed" "grounds," "stations" and "places."

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

10

۰.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

B. Treaty Fishing Rights

10. Each of the plaintiff tribes listed below is a Treaty Tribe. The list given below is a declaration only as to those 14 Indian entities which have been represented on the plaintiff side in this case. A Treaty Tribe occupies the status of a party to one or more of the Stevens' treaties and therefore holds for the benefit of its members a reserved right to harvest anadromous fish at all usual and accustomed places outside reservation boundaries, in common with others:

> Hoh Tribe of Indians; Lummi Indian Tribe; Makah Indian Tribe; Muckleshoot Indian Tribe; Nisqually Indian Community of the Nisqually Reservation; Puyallup Tribe of the Puyallup Reservation; Quileute Indian Tribe; Quinault Tribe of Indians;

DECLARATORY JUDGMENT AND DECREE - #4.

FPI-Sandstone 3-19-73-100M--1006

••• 1 Sauk-Suiattle Indian Tribe; 2 Skokomish Indian Tribe; 3 Squaxin Island Tribe of Indians; 4 Stillaguamish Tribe of Indians; 5 Upper Skagit River Tribe; 6 Confederated Tribes and Bands of the Yakima Indian Nation 7 11. The right of a Treaty Tribe to harvest anadromous 8 fish outside reservation boundaries arises from a provision 9 which appears in each of the Stevens' treaties and which, 10 with immaterial variations, states: 11 The right of taking fish, at all usual and 12 accustomed grounds and stations, is further secured to said Indians, in common with all 13 citizens of the Territory . . . 14 12. It is the responsibility of all citizens to see 15 that the terms of the Stevens' treaties are carried out, so 16 far as possible, in accordance with the meaning they were 17 understood to have by the tribal representatives at the 18 councils, and in a spirit which generously recognizes the 19 full obligation of this nation to protect the interests of $\mathbf{20}$ a dependent people. 21 13. From the earliest known times, up to and beyond 22 the time of the Stevens' treaties, the Indians comprising 23 each of the treating tribes and bands were primarily a fish-24 ing, hunting and gathering people dependent almost entirely 25 upon the natural animal and vegetative resources of the region $\mathbf{26}$ $f\phi r$ their subsistence and culture. They were heavily 27 dependent upon anadromous fish for their subsistence and for 28 trade with other tribes and later with the settlers. 29 Anadromous fish was the great staple of their diet and 30 livelihood. They cured and dried large quantities for year 31 32 DECLARATORY JUDGMENT AND DECREE - #5. FPI-Sandstone 3-19-73--100M---1006

around use, both for themselves and for others through sale, trade, barter and employment. With the advent of canning technology in the latter half of the 19th Century the commercial exploitation of the anadromous fish resources by non-Indians increased tremendously. Indians, fishing under their treaty-secured rights, also participated in this expanded commercial fishery and sold many fish to non-Indian packers and dealers.

14. The taking of anadromous fish from usual and accustomed places, the right to which was secured to the Treaty Tribes in the Stevens' treaties, constituted both the means of economic livelihood and the foundation of native culture. Reservation of the right to gather food in this fashion protected the Indians' right to maintain essential elements of their way of life, as a complement to the life defined by the permanent homes, allotted farm lands, compulsory education, technical assistance and pecuniary rewards offered in the treaties. Settlement of the West and the rise of industrial America. have significantly circumscribed the opportunities of members of the Treaty Tribes to fish for subsistence and commerce and to maintain tribal traditions. But the mere passage of time has not eroded, and cannot erode, the rights guaranteed by solemn treaties that both sides pledged on their honor to uphold.

15. The treaty-secured rights to resort to the usual and accustomed places to fish was a part of larger rights possessed by the treating Indians, upon the exercise of which there was not a shadow of impediment, and which were not much less necessary to their existence than the atmosphere they breathed. The treaty was not a grant of rights to the

DECLARATORY JUDGMENT AND DECREE - #6.

FPI-Sandstone 3-19-73-100M--1006

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

 $\mathbf{20}$

21

 $\mathbf{22}$

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

treating Indians, but a grant of rights from them, and a reservation of those not granted. In the Stevens' treaties, such reservations were not of particular parcels of land, and could not be expressed in deeds, as dealings between private individuals. The reservations were in large areas of territory, and the negotiations were with the tribes. The treaties reserved rights, however, to every individual Indian, as though described therein. There was an exclusive right of fishing reserved within certain boundaries. There was a right outside of those boundaries reserved for exercise "in common with citizens of the Territory."

16. The Stevens' treaties do not reserve to the Treaty Tribes any specific manner, method or purpose of taking fish; nor do the treaties prohibit any specific manner, method or purpose. Just as non-Indians may continue to take advantage of improvements in fishing techniques, the Treaty Tribes may, in exercising their rights to take anadromous fish, utilize improvements in traditional fishing methods, such for example as nylon nets and steel hooks.

17. The exercise of a Treaty Tribe's right to take anadromous fish outside of reservation boundaries is limited only by geographical extent of the usual and accustomed places, the limits of the harvestable stock and the number of fish which non-treaty fishermen shall have an opportunity to catch, as provided in the Decision of the Court.

18. Because the right of each Treaty Tribe to take anadromous fish arises from a treaty with the United States, that right is preserved and protected under the supreme law of the land, does not depend on State law, is distinct from rights or privileges held by others, and may not be qualified

DECLARATORY JUDGMENT AND DECREE - #7.

FPI-Sandstone 3-19-73-100M-1005

•

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

 $\mathbf{25}$

 $\mathbf{26}$

 $\mathbf{27}$

28

29

30

31

32

by any action of the State. 1 2 19. The treaty phrase "in common with" does not secure 3 any treaty right or privilege to anyone other than the 4 Treaty Tribes, nor does that phrase qualify any Indian's 5 treaty right to fish, except as provided in the Decision of ß the Court. 7 20. Except for tribes now or hereafter entitled to self-8 regulation of tribal fishing, as provided in the Decision of 9 the Court, the right of a Treaty Tribe to take anadromous 10 fish may be regulated by an appropriate exercise of State 11 power. To be appropriate, such regulation must: 12 a. Not discriminate against the Treaty Tribe's 13 reserved right to fish; 14 b. Meet appropriate standards of substantive and 15 procedural due process; and Be shown by the State to be both reasonable and 16 c. 17 necessary to preserve and maintain the resource. When 18 State law or regulations affect the volume of anadromous 19 fish available for harvest by a Treaty Tribe at usual and $\mathbf{20}$ accustomed places, such regulations must be designed so as 21 to carry out the purposes of the treaty provision securing 22 to the Tribe the right to take fish. 23 If any person shows identification, as provided in 21. 24 the Decision of the Court, that he is exercising the fishing 25 rights of a Treaty Tribe and if he is fishing in a usual 26 and accustomed place, he is protected under federal law 27 against any State action which affects the time, place, 28 manner, purpose or volume of his harvest of anadromous 29 fish, unless the State has previously established that such 30 action is an appropriate exercise of its power. 31 32 DECLARATORY JUDGMENT AND DECREE - #8.

FPI-Sendstone 3-19-73-100M---1006

22. The application of currently effective laws and regulations of the State of Washington specified in the Conclusions of Law which affect the time, place, manner and volume of off-reservation harvest of anadromous fish by Treaty Tribes is unlawful for the reasons also stated in the Conclusions of Law.

23. All Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law pertinent to the nature, scope and effect of the fishing rights of the Treaty Tribes are specifically incorporated by reference herein.

24. The court retains jurisdiction of this case for the life of this decree to take evidence, to make rulings and to issue such orders as may be just and proper upon the facts and law and in implementation of this decree.

25. Appointment of a Master, technical experts and an Advisory Committee on Treaty Right Fishing will be considered and determined as provided in the Decision of the court.

26. Plaintiffs' application for an injunction will be considered and determined upon hearing thereof at the earliest practicable date following entry of this judgment and decree.

Dated as of the date of entry hereof.

SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

DECLARATORY JUDGMENT AND DECREE - #9.

FFI-Sendiston.0 3-19-73-100M-1006

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

 $\mathbf{27}$

28

29

30

31

32