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Law Review is increasingly becoming a twelve month operation. During the past summer five senior editors worked full time on the Review. Other editors attending summer school or working in the Seattle area also willingly gave many hours of their time to the Review. Although much time was spent in assembling the Philippine Symposium, the new editorial board also spent many hours planning the program for the coming academic year. During many of these summer planning sessions, the editors discussed means of improving the training program for those students joining the Review during their second year in Law School. Efforts to improve the content and quality of the Review are to a considerable extent dependent on the ability of the senior editors to effectively train the newly selected members. Realizing the importance of this task, the editors instituted a ten-day training program just prior to the commencement of Autumn Quarter. Twenty-one nominees to the Review recently completed this ten-day session. During the first three days, these students received instruction in casenote writing, proper citation form, substantive cite checking, and other editorial administrative procedures. The students spent the remaining days researching and writing drafts of their first casenote under the guidance of the Recent Developments Editor and his staff of revisers. One tangible result of this ten-day effort will be that the bulk of these casenotes will be completed in time for publication in the January issue of the Review.

One of the major articles in this issue of the Review, The Common Agricultural Policy: Crisis in the Common Market by Professor Roland L. Hjorth, presented unique editorial and substantive cite checking problems. Because virtually all of the literature on the subject is in French and German sources, staff members of the Review made inordinate demands on Professor Hjorth's time in the resolution of translation and other editorial problems. In retrospect, we think the high quality of the article justified the extra effort. As a study in supranational legal institutions, Professor Hjorth's article will be of interest to scholars here and abroad. The study will also be of vital interest to counsel who represent clients presently en-
gaging in agricultural trade with member states of the Common Market.

Preparations are presently under way for a Symposium issue on the international legal problems associated with North Pacific Fisheries. The continuing controversy over the fisheries resources of the North Pacific presents a complicated problem of international concern. Because resolution of such a problem requires careful consideration of many complex and varied issues, the Symposium will include articles by economists, scientists, and, of course, legal scholars. Authors have been selected from the four members of the North Pacific community most concerned with the Fisheries issue—Canada, Japan, U.S.S.R., and the United States. Scheduled for publication in the fall of 1966, the North Pacific Fisheries Symposium promises to make a significant contribution in a relatively unexplored sphere of legal analysis. Mr. Edward Hansen has assumed primary editorial responsibility in developing this Symposium. Mr. Hansen has received invaluable assistance from three prominent members of the University of Washington academic community—: Ralph W. Johnson, Professor of Law; Dr. James A. Crutchfield, Professor of Economics; and Dr. William F. Royce, Director of the Fisheries Research Institute.