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AN ALTERNATIVE TO IMPACT LITIGATION IN CHINA:
THE PROCURATOR AS A LEGAL AVENUE FOR CASES IN
THE “PRIVATE FAMILY SPHERE” OF DOMESTIC
VIOLENCE

Hai-Ching Yang†

Abstract: Impact litigation, a popular technique among non-governmental organizations, while yielding numerous benefits, exercises limited influence over traditional family matters in China, like those of domestic violence. A closer examination of the factors attributed to the failure of the domestic violence case litigated by the Peking University’s Center for Women Law Studies and Legal Aid Services highlights the need to explore the potential of the procurator. As cases and events show “family matters” transgressing from the private to the public sphere and as setbacks continue to plague non-governmental organizations in their struggle to advance social causes, the institutionalized procurator can utilize its traditional function and legal authority as a public interest advocate to litigate domestic violence cases. By implementing its existing authority and cooperating with the legal aid centers, government agencies, women’s federations, and judiciaries, the procurator may be able to achieve more optimal results for victims where external techniques have attained limited success through its multilateral approach and internal channels.

I. INTRODUCTION

“The wife is like a horse bought . . . subject to my disposal at will.”1 For many abusive husbands in China, this traditional idea is a reflection of their thinking—that wives are “private property subject to their control.”2 In one case, an abusive husband boasted without shame in front of a court, that he has beaten his wife many times and that his whippings were an effective method to control her.3 Unfortunately, violence has become a means for an abusive husband to maintain his authority and to solve
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1 PEKING UNIVERSITY CENTER FOR WOMEN’S STUDIES AND LEGAL AID SERVICES, DOMESTIC VIOLENCE AND LEGAL ASSISTANCE 192 (Chinese Academy of Social Science, 2003). The Center quoted this phrase to illustrate the traditional gender ideas surrounding the husband’s authority.

2 Id.

3 Id.
problems in the family. Faced with the challenges of domestic violence and patriarchal norms, numerous non-governmental organizations and legal aid centers have explored different means of publicizing domestic violence cases to the general public. One effective measure is the use of impact litigation by organizations such as the Peking University Center for Women Law Studies and Legal Aid Services (“the Center”). This technique publicizes “typical cases” through media and awareness efforts and creates a ripple effect, sometimes resulting in a favorable judicial decision for the plaintiff victim.

Despite its numerous benefits, impact litigation suits in domestic violence cases have been stymied by structural setbacks in the legal and enforcement processes. One explanation for the limited effect of impact litigation suits could be that such lawsuits target issues that require further internal reforms in mentality and practice among judicial actors and government officials. Traditional concepts of gender, and position and duty within the family, for example, may reinforce gender stereotypes and encourage the acceptance of domestic violence. Traditional notions of gender may also inhibit judicial and governmental actors from effectively participating in cases in the “private family sphere,” such as domestic violence cases, creating higher barriers for victims to bring successful lawsuits. With multiple impediments in the legal and enforcement systems, questions point towards the need for an internal monitoring mechanism, perhaps a different party, to initiate suit where external techniques achieved limited success.

In Shi Changqing and Song Jie’s article, “Exploring The Problem of the Procurator’s Civil Litigation Activities,” the authors recommend the procurator as an important agent in cases for the public’s interest. The
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4 Id.
5 Peking University Center for Women Law Studies and Legal Aid Services Homepage, Center’s Summary, http://www.woman-legalaid.org.cn/about.asp# (last visited Oct. 5, 2010).
6 CONGRESSIONAL-EXECUTIVE COMM. ON CHINA, ACCESS TO JUSTICE IN CHINA—ROUNDTABLE BEFORE THE CONGRESSIONAL-EXECUTIVE COMM. ON CHINA, 108th Cong., 2d Sess., at 15 (2004), http://frwebgate.access.gpo.gov/cgi-bin/getdoc.cgi?dbname=108_house_hearings&docid=f:95346.pdf (last visited Oct. 18, 2010) [hereinafter CONGRESSIONAL-EXECUTIVE COMMISSION ON CHINA]. Liebman further explains the importance of media in court decisions in his 2007 article, “China’s Courts: Restricted Reform”: “Such claims also highlight a characteristic of public litigation and cause lawyering in China: when such claims succeed, it is rarely because of court decisions. The primary goal of many such lawsuits is to generate public, and in particular media, attention sufficient to compel official action.” Benjamin L. Liebman, China’s Courts: Restricted Reform, 21 COLUM. J. ASIAN L. 1, 34-35 (2007).
7 PEKING UNIVERSITY CENTER FOR WOMEN’S STUDIES AND LEGAL AID SERVICES, DOMESTIC VIOLENCE AND LEGAL ASSISTANCE 193 (Chinese Academy of Social Science, 2003).
8 Id.
9 The “procurator” refers to the prosecuting attorney, while the “procuratorate” refers to the prosecutorial bureau.
procurator is the equivalent to the American version of prosecutor. By litigating in cases where the litigant is unable to do so due to threats, physical, and economic difficulties, and working with internal bureaus and external organizations, the procurator can play a crucial role in domestic violence cases.

This comment provides a closer examination of the procurator’s role as one who can potentially transverse this gray area of domestic violence, once considered to be solely in the “private family sphere.” Such an approach may successfully achieve more positive results for victims than a purely impact litigation approach. Part II surveys the growth of legal aid and laws protecting women’s rights in China, in particular the rise of quasi-legal organizations such as the Center. Part III elaborates on the Center’s use of impact litigation and its limitations in “private family matters” through case illustrations. Part IV argues that litigating through the procurator, despite its shortcomings, may be a more effective legal channel than external impact litigation in the gray area of domestic violence.

II. WITH THE RISE OF LEGAL AID, QUASI-LEGAL ORGANIZATIONS HAVE EXERCISED IMPACT LITIGATION TO ADVANCE WOMEN’S RIGHTS’ PROTECTION

In the past decade, Chinese laws and regulations pertaining to women’s rights increased exponentially. Simultaneously, the growth of quasi-independent legal organizations began addressing the legal landscape of women’s rights. Particularly one organization, the Peking University Center for Women Law Studies and Legal Aid Services, aggressively utilizes impact litigation to advance women’s rights’ protection.

A. While Gaps Exist in Current Legal Provisions on Domestic Violence, Laws and Legal Aid Efforts Protecting Women’s Rights in China Are Increasing

Over the years, China’s approach to “public interest” activities and legal aid organizations appear to be edging toward ensuring legal aid and women’s rights. From virtually no government-supported legal aid centers in 1994, China had more than 2,400 legal aid offices in 2002 and 3,023 by
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11 Id.
12 CONGRESSIONAL-EXECUTIVE COMMISSION ON CHINA, supra note 6.
the end of 2004\textsuperscript{13} to address the rise in legal aid cases. Encouraging legal aid, the Chinese government has passed a series of laws outlining the responsibility of lawyers and government entities to protect disadvantaged groups. For example, the 1996 Lawyers Law\textsuperscript{14} marks the first time China imposed an affirmative obligation on lawyers to engage in legal aid work.\textsuperscript{15} Also, the 2003 Regulations on Legal Aid\textsuperscript{16} expressly stipulate the government’s responsibility to provide free legal aid for citizens in dire circumstances, therefore supplying aid to impoverished women whose rights are infringed.\textsuperscript{17}

Furthermore, the Chinese government passed a number of laws closely related to women’s rights and interests, including Law on Protection of Rights and Interests of Women,\textsuperscript{18} Labor Law,\textsuperscript{19} Population and Family Planning Law,\textsuperscript{20} Law on Rural Land Contracting,\textsuperscript{21} as well as laws related to criminal, civil and marriage law that forbid violence against women by

\textsuperscript{13} Information Office of the State Council of the People’s Republic of China, \textit{Gender Equality and Women’s Development in China}, WHITE PAPER (Beijing), Aug. 24, 2005, at 20. This paper does not specify which entities constitute as governmental legal aid institutions. Regarding university-sponsored legal aid centers, Professor Liebman has categorized such centers as quasi-independent structures in his 1990s study of Chinese public interest litigation. While these programs are formally attached to state institutions—universities or research institutes—the programs operate autonomously, with no government funding and little government oversight. Benjamin L. Liebman, \textit{Legal Aid and Public Interest Law in China}, 34 TEX. INT’L L. J. 211, 233 (1999).

\textsuperscript{14} Law on Lawyers (promulgated on May 15, 1996, effective as of Jan. 1, 2007) (P.R.C.), available at http://www.lawinfochina.com/law/display.asp?db=1&id=1155&keyword=Law of the People’s Republic of China on Lawyers (stipulating that “lawyers are professionals who, with a lawyer's license obtained according to law, provide legal services to society.”). The promulgation and implementation of the Lawyers Law is crucial to safeguarding lawyers' rights and their operation according to law, protecting the legitimate rights and interests of litigants, and implementing laws correctly. This law was amended on December 29, 2001 and revised on October 28, 2007 at the 30th session of the Tenth National People’s Congress.


\textsuperscript{17} Information Office of the State Council of the People’s Republic of China, \textit{supra} note 13.


anyone and in any form. Legal mechanisms that pertain to domestic violence can be found in a variety of laws, which together create a general policy of protecting a woman's right of person. Article 49 of Chinese Constitution prohibits the abuse of elderly people, women, and children. Article 104 of General Principles of Civil Law protects marriage, family, the elderly, mothers and children. Article 3 of Marriage Law prohibits abuse and abandonment among family members, while Article 9 maintains that husbands and wives enjoy equal status in the family. Article 35 of Law on the Protection of Women's Rights and Interests stipulates that a woman's rights to life and health shall not be infringed. The implementation of these domestic violence provisions are rooted in Criminal Law, General Principles of Civil Law and Regulations on Administrative Penalty for Public Security, by which perpetrators may be given criminal sanctions or administrative penalties, and possibly be ordered to pay civil penalties depending on the seriousness of the violence. It is important to note that these provisions, though detailed, do not necessarily cover all types domestic violence that can occur.

Criminal sanctions can be imposed on perpetrators whose actions result in particularly grave consequences, such as mistreatment of family members, domestic abuse resulting in death or serious bodily injury, and sexual abuse. For example, for mistreating family members, Article 260 of Criminal Law provides for sentences of up to two years in prison in cases of flagrant physical abuse, and sentences of up to seven years if the violence results in serious injury or death. This provision, however, does not
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23 Yuhong Zhao, Domestic Violence in China: In Search of Legal and Social Responses, 18 UCLA PAC. BASIN L.J. 211, 225 (2001). A woman's right of person generally means rights that every woman should have as a human being.
28 Yuhong Zhao, supra note 23, at 225.
29 Id. at 226.
account for minor injuries, nor does it provide for circumstances where ex-husbands are the perpetrators, and hence do not fall under “family members.”\footnote{Yuhong Zhao, supra note 23 at 226.} For domestic abuse resulting in death or serious bodily injury, courts resort to Article 232 (crime of murder) and Article 234 (crime of inflicting injury on others) of Criminal Law to impose punishment on abusers in extreme cases.\footnote{Criminal Law, arts. 232 & 234 (P.R.C.), available at http://www.lawinfochina.com/law/display.asp?db=1&id=354&keyword=Criminal Law.} Similarly, this provision does not protect injuries that do not result in grave consequences as death or "bodily injury."\footnote{Yuhong Zhao, supra note 23, at 227.} For sexual abuse, Article 236 of Criminal Law provides the punishment for the crime of rape, from minimum of three years to life imprisonment or death, depending on the severity of the offense.\footnote{Criminal Law, art. 236 (P.R.C.), available at http://www.lawinfochina.com/law/display.asp?db=1&id=354&keyword=Criminal Law.} This provision, however, does not provide protections against marital rape.\footnote{Charles J. Ogletree, Jr. & Rangita de Silva-de Alwis, The Recently Revised Marriage Law of China: The Promise and the Reality, 13 Tex. J. Women & L. 251, 275 (2004).}

Regulations on Administrative Penalties for Public Security ("Regulations on Administrative Penalties") impose administrative penalties on people who have infringed other's rights of person, where such violation does not constitute crime, but these regulations are rarely enforced.\footnote{Regulations on Administrative Penalties for Public Security, sec. 22 (promulgated by Standing Comm. of the Nat’l People’s Cong., May 12, 1994, effective as of May 12, 1994) (P.R.C.), available at http://www.lawinfochina.com/law/display.asp?db=1&id=147&keyword=Regulations on Administrative Penalties for Public Security. Under this regulation, a defendant who commits an act that is not serious enough for criminal punishment is detained for a maximum of 15 days and fined a maximum of 200 yuan. This regulation has recently been replaced by Law of the People’s Republic of China on Public Security Administration Punishments, which similarly imposes light punishment for intentional beating and injury of a person’s body and mistreating a family member. Under Article 43, defendant would be detained for only 10-15 days and fined for 500-1,000 yuan for injuring a pregnant woman or beating a person for two or more times. Article 45 detains the defendant for five or less days or gives him a warning for mistreating his family members. Law on Public Security Administration Punishments, arts. 43 & 45 (promulgated by the Standing Comm. of the Tenth Nat’l People’s Cong., Aug. 28, 2005, effective as of Mar. 1, 2006) (P.R.C.), available at http://www.lawinfochina.com/law/display.asp?db=1&id=4549&keyword=Law on Public Security Administration Punishments. While the replacement law has increased the fines for similar offenses under the previous regulation, little information is available regarding the effectiveness and utilization of these provisions.} Under section 22(1), the Public Security Bureau\footnote{The Public Security Bureau, or the “police” bureau, refers to the government office that handles matters in crime control, public security, social order, as well as immigration and visitor affairs. Public Security Bureaus exist in both the provincial and municipal levels. See Beijing Municipal Public Security Bureau Homepage, http://www.bjgaj.gov.cn/eng/gajjjAction.do?methodname=gajjjIndex&cateCode=GAIJJ (last visited Nov. 16, 2010).} can impose an administrative penalty on such occasions where one strikes another causing slight injury,
which can happen in a family context.\textsuperscript{38} It may also impose administrative penalties on people who mistreat their family members, subject to request by the victims under section 22(4).\textsuperscript{39} However, the Public Security Bureau has traditionally refrained from interfering in family disputes—rather than exercising this legal provision to impose penalties, the bureau often refers victims to Women’s Federations.\textsuperscript{40}

Under Article 119 of General Principles of Civil Law, those who have caused injury to other people are liable for compensation of medical costs, loss of salary as a result of absence from work, and subsistence for disabled persons.\textsuperscript{41} Those who have caused death are liable for payment of funeral costs and subsistence for dependants of the victim.\textsuperscript{42} Although this provision allows civil remedies to those who suffer injury inflicted by spouses, whether battered wives would go through the hassle to utilize this channel to claim compensation, however, is debatable.\textsuperscript{43}

Despite gaps in these existing operative provisions regarding certain types of domestic violence, these laws and regulations illustrate a general trend towards protecting women’s rights and interests. In addition to legal protections, the Chinese government has provided support on the grassroots level, such as aiding NGO efforts to establish hotlines and legal consultation centers that provide legal aid and similar services for women.\textsuperscript{44}

Several factors can explain the Chinese government’s push for legal aid. Expanding legal aid helps push disputes into formal legal channels and constrain lawless behavior at the local level.\textsuperscript{45} As an important component of China’s efforts to develop “socialism with Chinese characteristics,”\textsuperscript{46} legal aid also coincides with the state policy of addressing income inequalities and assists those who have been left behind by China’s rapid development.\textsuperscript{47} Additionally, legal aid is perceived as an important aspect of a modern legal system to which China aspires.\textsuperscript{48} Although the State encourages legal aid and tries to maintain control over its development, some of the most

\textsuperscript{38} Id.
\textsuperscript{39} Id.
\textsuperscript{40} Yuhong Zhao, supra note 23, at 229.
\textsuperscript{41} General Principles of Civil Law, art. 119 (P.R.C.), available at http://www.lawinfochina.com/law/display.asp?db=1&id=1165&keyword=general principles of civil law.
\textsuperscript{42} Id.
\textsuperscript{43} Yuhong Zhao, supra note 23, at 230. Zhao notes that in practice, battered wives seldom take the trouble of going through the legal process to claim compensation from their husbands and that this provision only becomes relevant in cases where a battered woman is also seeking a divorce.
\textsuperscript{44} Information Office of the State Council of the People's Republic of China, supra note 13.
\textsuperscript{45} See CONGRESSIONAL-EXECUTIVE COMMISSION ON CHINA, supra note 6.
\textsuperscript{46} Liebman, supra note 15, at 224.
\textsuperscript{47} CONGRESSIONAL-EXECUTIVE COMMISSION ON CHINA, supra note 6.
\textsuperscript{48} Id. at 35.
important developments in legal aid stem from a quasi-independent sector,\footnote{Quasi-independent sector refers to the non-governmental legal aid centers that are housed within state-run universities. See Liebman, supra note 15, at 233.} composed of university-based centers and women’s organizations.\footnote{CONGRESSIONAL-EXECUTIVE COMMISSION ON CHINA, supra note 6, at 7.} 

**B. Quasi-Independent Legal Aid Centers Function as a Legal Channel**

The founding Chinese attorneys who established non-governmental legal aid centers were mostly liberal reformers who sought to closely replicate public interest lawyers in other countries, particularly the United States.\footnote{Titi M. Liu, *Transmission of Public Interest Law: A Chinese Case Study*, 13 UCLA J. INT’L L. & FOREIGN AFF. 263, 278 (2008).} Exposed to American public interest lawyers through exchanges and conferences, these domestic attorneys developed a form of litigation that closely tracks the American impact litigation model.\footnote{Id.} For example, they would use individual cases to highlight systemic issues. They would also advocate through multiple channels, such as the media and local people’s congress.\footnote{Id.}

One unique characteristic of these quasi-independent legal aid centers is that they are able to challenge local authorities precisely because their goals are consistent with those of the State.\footnote{Liebman, supra note 15, at 279.} Whether these centers advocate for laborers, the environment, or women’s rights, they view their work as one that benefits the public’s interest and preserves social harmony—goals congruent with the Chinese government’s development plan.\footnote{There are many instances where local authorities violate national laws or where local authorities do not enforce national policies. Where local policies clash with national policies, quasi-independent legal aid centers and other nongovernmental legal aid providers have taken legal action to challenge local authorities.} Generally, government officials do not view these centers as hostile.\footnote{Id. at 279.} The centers’ positions within prestigious state-run universities give them credibility and insulation from scrutiny.\footnote{This is a common view among attorneys that the author has worked with at the Peking Women’s Center as well as attorneys from other quasi-independent legal aid organizations. The way to push forward legal aid development in China is to do so without threatening the government’s plan of social stability and development.} The Peking University Center for Women Law Studies and Legal Aid Services (“the Center”) is one example that illustrates the development of legal aid and public interest advocacy without lawyers directly conflicting with the central government in the arena of women’s rights.
C. Impact Litigation Is Aggressively Used by Legal Aid Organizations, Particularly the Center

The Center extensively utilizes impact litigation in its social justice activities for women rights’ protection. Established in December 1995, the Center provides legal aid to women in a variety of contexts, such as employment discrimination, sexual harassment, labor disputes, land rights, divorce and domestic violence. The Center relies on a small staff of full-time and part-time lawyers, law professors, Chinese and international student volunteers, as well as an advisory board consisting of members who hold prominent government posts or are well-known academics. Similar to many legal aid programs in China, much of the work of the Center consists of answering inquiries through their telephone hotline, in person, or by mail. Unlike other Chinese legal aid centers, the Center identifies “impact litigation” and advocating legislative changes as its explicit goals. This fact is noteworthy because the organization has an openly activist agenda in China’s state-controlled legal and media environment. Besides its litigation activities, the Center sponsors academic symposiums on women’s issues and conducts research that advises the government on proposed legislation. The Center also extended its activities to create Women’s Watch-China, a policy research think tank, as well as a Public Interest Lawyers’ Network, a forum that connects attorneys and advances public interest law in China.

From 1995 to 2010, the Center received over 8,000 inquiries across China, represented plaintiffs in approximately 3,000 cases, and litigated over...
200 large-scale, high-impact public interest cases. Its attorneys seek to concentrate their energy on cases with large social impact in order to maximize the effectiveness of their efforts. By litigating complicated “representative” cases, the Center’s attorneys hope to produce an impact beyond a particular client’s legal rights. Unlike American impact litigation, which focuses on a legal precedent that then binds subsequent cases, Chinese impact litigation focuses on utilizing media in publicizing a social issue. According to Professor Benjamin L. Liebman, impact litigation cases [Have] impact because the media picks up on it, covers it widely—it is that action that actually leads to changes and leads to a broader change and to laws sometimes being revised, or simply problems being addressed through policy mechanisms rather than through a case being laid down in law and taken as precedent.

Through impact litigation, the Center’s attorneys are willing to undertake cases in order to highlight problems in the legal system.

III. THE “PRIVATE FAMILY AREA” OF DOMESTIC VIOLENCE ILLUSTRATES THE INADEQUACIES OF RESOLVING CASES THROUGH EXTERNAL IMPACT LITIGATION

Impact litigation, however, is often not effective in the area of private family matters. While impact litigation can be an effective tool for public interest litigation, the following case example shows why the Center’s impact litigation has been ineffective in domestic violence cases. One reason for its restricted effect is the prevalent view among judicial and law enforcement officials that domestic violence is a private family matter, not
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65 Peking University Center for Women Law Studies and Legal Aid Services Homepage, Center’s Summary, http://www.woman-legalaid.org.cn/about.asp# (last visited Oct. 10, 2010).
67 Based on the author’s discussions with attorneys during her experience at the Peking University’s Center for Women’s Law Studies and Legal Services from 2006-2008.
68 CONGRESSIONAL-EXECUTIVE COMMISSION ON CHINA, supra note 6, at 15.
69 Id. In fact, maintaining good relations with the media is important, particularly when representing weak or disadvantaged clients who are in disputes with locally influential individuals. Lawyers often seek out journalists to assist with such cases. Benjamin L. Liebman, Watchdog or Demagogue? The Media in the Chinese Legal System, 105 COLUM. L. REV. 1, 93 (2005).
70 Id. It is important to note that China’s civil law system is not based on judicial precedence, a common characteristic of common law jurisdictions.
71 Liebman, supra note 15, at 236.
appropriate for external intervention. This ideological view prevents judicial and law enforcement officials from adjudicating domestic violence cases. By denying the adjudication of such cases, judicial and law enforcement officials overlook the reality that domestic violence is in fact a public social harm and welfare concern.

A. The Impact Litigation Technique Has Been Effective Through Its Use of the Media in Highlighting Social Concerns and Monitoring Accountability.

As a litigation strategy, the reasons for impact litigation’s effectiveness are numerous. First, impact litigation can have broad-based influence through media, attracting the attention of people of varying backgrounds and geographic regions. The average person can participate and discuss these issues through publications or the Internet. He or she can learn to understand and protect her right. Second, the media attention garnered by impact litigation can potentially inform other non-governmental organizations and plaintiffs across the nation on model litigation cases and the success of certain techniques. Third, impact litigation can raise awareness on social issues that can invoke sympathy among the public for its underlying causes. This may be helpful since judges must take account of public opinion where there is public reporting of a case. Impact litigation, with the aid of media, pressures judges to adjudicate a case. Judges note that they are more likely to pay close attention to a case if a case is the subject of media coverage. Internal media reports of cases attracting Party-state officials’ interest often result in written instructions from such officials, either directing that the case should be handled in a certain way or simply stating that the case should be “emphasized.” Lastly, impact litigation can serve as a check on the executive power and encourage judicial reform through both its direct and ancillary effects—whether through proposed legislative changes or academic symposiums on the legal
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72 Xu Dahai, *Lun yin xiang xing su song* [Discussing Impact Litigation], 4 *LEGAL RES.* 32 (2009).
74 *Id.*
75 Benjamin L. Liebman, *Watchdog or Demagogue? The Media in the Chinese Legal System*, 105 *COLUM. L. REV.* 1, 91 (2005) (“A Beijing district court judge attending a conference at Beijing University commented that there are many cases in which media involvement influences outcomes and that media coverage often has a negative effect on the fairness of proceedings . . . Judges note that they are more likely to pay close attention to a case, and in some cases are more likely to assign a heavy sentence if a case is the subject of media coverage.”).
76 *Id.* See also *id.* at n. 416 (citing to Liebman’s Interview 2002-13).
77 *Id.* at 100.
difficulties of the “model” cases.\textsuperscript{78} For a highly politicized legal system, impact litigation can be an effective external technique in pushing forward legal reform.

B. Impact Litigation Has Its Limits and Drawbacks in “Private Family Matters”

Even though much discussion focused on the positive effects of impact litigation, little attention has been paid to its limitations. For example, results may vary depending on the level of the court.\textsuperscript{79} Additionally, the media is less able to influence higher-ranking courts, as opposed to courts at the local level.\textsuperscript{80}

Impact litigation may also result in varying outcomes depending on the type of media coverage, which may be positive or negative, and journalism bias. While impact litigation may, for instance, help highlight the inadequacies of the State’s regulations, it might not resolve the underlying problems. Vague or inoperable regulations are internal structural issues that are unlikely to be reformed by the judicial process and would need to be changed by the government organs. Furthermore, even though lawyers may succeed in stirring public debate on social issues or in generating legislative changes for individual rights, whether lawyers have created a systematic response from government actors to their legal and constitutional arguments remains to be seen.\textsuperscript{81}

Impact litigation in some cases may also exacerbate issues for the plaintiff victim. In sensitive domestic violence cases, generated media attention may cause humiliation or even further harm, as some abusers will use publicized information against the plaintiff victim.\textsuperscript{82} Moreover, the effect of impact litigation on traditional “private family issues” may be limited due to the traditional patriarchal concepts of gender roles that are entrenched in the minds of the judicial actors.\textsuperscript{83} These limitations have

\textsuperscript{78} Wang Jian & Song Xiaohong , supra note 73.  
\textsuperscript{79} Liebman, supra note 75. See id. at n. 416 (citing to Liebman’s Interview 2002-12).  
\textsuperscript{80} Id.  
\textsuperscript{82} PEKING UNIVERSITY CENTER FOR WOMEN’S STUDIES AND LEGAL AID SERVICES, supra note 1, at 285.  
\textsuperscript{83} Id.
negatively affected case outcomes, as seen in Huang’s Domestic Violence and Divorce case.\textsuperscript{84}

C. \textit{The Huang Domestic Violence and Divorce Case Illustrates the Inadequacies of Impact Litigation}

The \textit{Huang} case demonstrates some of the deficiencies of impact litigation, particularly in areas of private family matters. Ms. Huang’s case is characteristic of many domestic violence cases litigated by the Center. Mr. Wang, during his 20-year marriage with Ms. Huang, engaged in a long history of serious gambling.\textsuperscript{85} The severity of his gambling habit led to him to steal money and valuables from the home.\textsuperscript{86} Due to his substantial debt, debt collectors often beat up Mr. Wang, leaving Ms. Huang to take him to the hospital and to repay his debts.\textsuperscript{87} Rather than reciprocating her kindness, Mr. Wang battered and threatened Ms. Huang.\textsuperscript{88} After forcing Ms. Huang to leave the home, Mr. Wang brought home a mistress to live with him.\textsuperscript{89} Ms. Huang’s three requests for divorce at court were unsuccessful.\textsuperscript{90} The current litigation in this case revolved around Mr. Wang’s request for divorce and Ms. Huang’s demand for compensation for the injury caused.\textsuperscript{91}

The Center’s attorneys decided to litigate this case because battery of wives by husbands due to gambling activities is typical of many domestic violence divorce cases.\textsuperscript{92} Evidence in this case was considered stronger when compared to other domestic violence cases.\textsuperscript{93} The Center’s attorneys collected evidence on Mr. Wang’s gambling habit, his battery of Ms. Huang, and his mistress, including witness testimony, medical evidence of battery, public security bureau documentation, and receipts of Ms. Huang’s annual rent payment for the house.\textsuperscript{94}

\textsuperscript{85} Id.
\textsuperscript{86} Id.
\textsuperscript{87} Id.
\textsuperscript{88} Id.
\textsuperscript{89} Id.
\textsuperscript{90} Id. The reasons why Ms. Huang’s three requests for divorce were denied are not explained in the case.
\textsuperscript{91} Id.
\textsuperscript{92} Id.
\textsuperscript{93} Id.
\textsuperscript{94} Id. Center’s attorneys collected receipts of Ms. Huang’s annual rent payment for the house to illustrate her continual support of household expenses and to persuade the judges in allowing Ms. Huang to have her stake in the house.
Even in a case with relatively sufficient evidence and a potentially promising outcome, the court ruled against the plaintiff and the Center. While the court granted divorce and allowed minimum monetary compensation for Ms. Huang, it rejected Ms. Huang’s evidence and other claims of battery.\textsuperscript{95} The appellate court affirmed the lower court’s decision.\textsuperscript{96} The legal system, in essence, only lightly punished Mr. Wang’s abusive behavior, as the house remains the husband’s property.\textsuperscript{97}

This disappointing result illustrates the systematic legal problems surrounding domestic violence. The Center believes that Ms. Huang did not recover more money for several reasons: 1) the public security bureau and other governmental organs refuse to interfere with domestic violence because it is considered a private family matter; 2) collecting sufficient evidence is difficult, especially because of the high burden of proof on victims; 3) in adjudicating the cases, the judges lack gender perspective; and 4) perpetrators are given low punishments for their acts.\textsuperscript{98}

While all these factors attribute to the outcomes of this domestic violence case, the most problematic issue is the judicial and government actors’ refusal to deal with private family matters. This is detrimental to battered wives because this mentality contributes to greater social harm and ignores the fact that domestic violence is progressing from the private sphere to a public realm where the legal system encompasses the authority to interfere with societal matters.

1. \textit{Denying the Adjudication of Domestic Violence Is a Political Excuse to Overlook Domestic Violence as a General Social Harm}

Perhaps the main reason for the limited impact of the \textit{Huang} case is that the court assumed domestic violence cases to be private family matters.\textsuperscript{99} Usually, battered wives’ cases often do not end up in court because the police advise parties to resolve marital problems peacefully and without official involvement.\textsuperscript{100} Even when such cases end up in court,
offenders are likely to receive a light sentence, as seen in the case above. Previous reports also found courts refusing to find a defendant guilty of the crime of mistreating family members by defining a higher threshold for violent conduct necessary to prove a crime. Additionally, courts have excused defendants based on discriminatory reasons—one being that each instance of violence occurred with good cause, such as the wife not being obedient to her husband.

The Public Security Bureaus’ reluctance to intervene in domestic violence disputes is also problematic. While the public security bureaus are the first official agency to which victims of domestic violence turn for help, abused women often view the public security bureaus as last resorts. These agencies frequently refrain from interfering in family disputes, based on the excuse that domestic violence is a private matter, or that it is a women’s matter that should be dealt with by the women’s federations. Intervention by arrest and prosecution seldom occurs unless serious consequences such as death or serious bodily injury result.

By viewing domestic violence as a private family matter, judicial and enforcement officials neglect to view domestic violence as a general social harm. Their inaction leads the abusive husband to believe that he will not be punished for beating his wife, continuing this endless cycle of battery, mental abuse, and violence.

Government officials’ lack of awareness regarding the social impact of domestic violence also helps to explain the reason for inadequate anti-
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domestic violence legislation and ineffective implementation of existing laws.\textsuperscript{111} However, with increased national and international attention to domestic violence in China,\textsuperscript{112} judicial and enforcement officials are faced with the possibility that domestic violence may in fact be a pervasive issue in Chinese society that is beyond the private domain.

2. \textit{Evidence Shows Domestic Violence as a Vague Gray Area Transgressing Both the Public and the Private Spheres}

Although domestic violence is viewed as a private family matter, the reality is that domestic violence is not limited only within the family but is increasingly confronted in the public sphere. In addition to the serious physical and psychological injuries directly suffered by battered women, the widespread nature of domestic violence limits the opportunities for women to achieve legal, social, political and economic equality in society.\textsuperscript{113} Tolerance for domestic violence starts with the subordination of a girl within the family at birth, extends into society in the form of unequal education and employment opportunities, and culminates in the marital family with her subordinate status to her husband,\textsuperscript{114} leading to further possible acts of domestic violence. Domestic violence also results in broken families and disturbed children, which cause extensive social problems.\textsuperscript{115}

While the operability and implementation of anti-domestic violence legislation is debatable,\textsuperscript{116} recognition and response against domestic
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\textsuperscript{111} Yuhong Zhao, \textit{supra} note 23, at 232. “Legal regulations in respect [to] domestic violence are vague and [difficult to implement in practice]. Although [China’s Marriage Law] has defined domestic violence and affirmed its illegality ... no explicit provisions [exist] in judicial practice on how to understand the relationship between domestic violence and abuse, whether the compensation for [psychological damage] should be included in the compensation for damages in a [divorce] case [caused by] domestic violence, and what the criterion is for compensation ... At the same time, the provisions in respect of measures of assistance against domestic violence are too principled in the Marriage Law, with no explicit prescriptions [regarding] the functions and responsibilities of the authorities concerned. [R]egulations to supervise and urge these authorities to help the battered females and stop domestic violence are not strict enough.” PEKING UNIVERSITY CENTER FOR WOMEN’S STUDIES AND LEGAL AID SERVICES, \textit{supra} note 1, at 199. See \textit{infra} Part II.A. for more discussion on legal provisions pertaining to domestic violence.
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violence have been rising. Legislation and judicial practice have stressed the consequences against perpetrators in civil and criminal cases and that active legal aid should be provided to the victims.\footnote{Information Office of the State Council of the People's Republic of China, supra note 18.} In recent years, some Chinese regions enacted local statutes outlawing domestic violence.\footnote{Id.} In fact, 22 provinces formulated such rules, policies, and measures by the end of 2004.\footnote{Id.}

The Chinese government has also cooperated actively with NGOs to launch intervening projects, as well as vigorous publicity, education, and training activities.\footnote{Id.} Other governmental efforts include setting up alarm centers, injury assessment centers, and women's aid stations, establishing anti-domestic violence hotlines, and providing multiple services for female victims, including consultation, shelter, medical care, and psychological help.\footnote{Id.} In other words, evidence of the government’s actions shows that domestic violence is increasingly acknowledged as a social problem that needs to be addressed by the government.

However, lacking explicit legal provisions that govern relevant authorities involved in domestic violence cases and strict regulations that aid battered victims, the question becomes whether there can be an internal monitoring mechanism within the government that would remedy current efforts in domestic violence. The procurator presents the possibility of an institutionalized authority that would litigate and monitor domestic violence cases on behalf of the public’s interest.

IV. THE PROCURATOR IS AN INSTITUTIONALIZED SOLUTION FOR DOMESTIC VIOLENCE CASES

One should view the role of the procurator as a legal avenue for plaintiffs in domestic violence cases because domestic violence entails public welfare and government responsibility. Laws and regulations governing the procurator’s authority support this notion. Evidence also indicates that the procurator is expanding its role in other public interest cases. While government-initiated prosecutions involve drawbacks, the government has taken measures to address these problems, including establishing additional checks on the procurator. Ultimately, the procurator can supplement a non-governmental organization’s impact litigation activities to increase the success of domestic violence cases.

\footnote{Id.}
A. The Procurator Possesses the Legal Authority to Litigate Public Interest Cases

Because domestic violence is transgressing to a gray area where private family matters can be of public social concern, the question becomes whether there are any other agents that can also advocate on behalf of the battered female victims. The procurator, a traditional advocate for the public’s interest, is one potential agent of change “within the system.”

A procurator’s role is a curious one; besides prosecuting perpetrators, his or her function includes both supervising the work of both the public security bureaus and the people’s courts. The procurator maintains the authority to request an explanation from the public security bureau and to require an investigation into a case. Where a court fails to hear a victim’s domestic violence case, for example, the procurator may also intervene.

Several laws and regulations pertain to the procurator’s legal functions. Article 14 of Civil Procedure Law, for example, states that “[t]he people’s procuratorates shall have the right to exercise legal supervision over civil proceedings.” Administrative Procedure Law also provides the authority for procurators to supervise administrative proceedings, as well as the right to lodge a protest according to judicial supervision procedures, if the procuratorate finds that the laws or regulations are being violated in a legally effective judgment or people’s court order. Additionally, Organic Law of the People’s Procuratorate specifies

122 China’s procuratorates, the state bureau for procurators, are state organs for legal supervision, established at four levels corresponding to the courts and special procuratorates. The Supreme Procuratorate exercises leadership over local and special procuratorates at various levels. Higher procuratorates exercise control over the work of lower procuratorates. Additionally, chief procurators at all levels are elected and removed by the corresponding Congresses. Other procurators are appointed and removed by the corresponding Congresses’ standing committees upon the chief procurator’s recommendation. In sum, all local procuratorates are responsible to both the corresponding congress and its standing committee, and their superior procuratorates. Zongling Shen, The Role of Lawyers In Social Change: China, 25 CASE W. RES. J. INT’L L. 163, 166 (1993).
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126 These laws and regulations pertaining to the procurator include: Civil Procedure Law, Administrative Procedure Law, Organic Law of the People’s Procuratorate, and Public Procurators Law.
procuratorial authority over criminal cases, including but not limited to conducting criminal investigations, reviewing public security bureau investigated cases, initiation of public prosecutions, and judicial activities of people’s courts. While typically the procurator can handle a case only when the victim files a criminal complaint, Article 98 of Criminal Law provides an exception—that if the victim is unable to file a complaint because of coercion or intimidation, a people’s procuratorate may also file the complaint. Lastly, the enactment of Public Procurators Law helps “to enhance the quality of public procurators, to reinforce the administration of public procurators, to ensure that the People’s Procuratorates exercise legal supervision and exercise procuratorial authority according to law, to ensure that the public procurators perform their duties according to law and to guarantee the judicial justice.”

Reinforcing the above laws, the Supreme People’s Procuratorate also drafted a 2001 regulation to ensure that the procuratorate would pursue civil litigation activities and implement legal supervision over administrative procedures pursuant to the above laws. Article 2 of the 2001 Regulations captures the mandate of the procuratorate. Article 2 stipulates that the procuratorate “must provide for the legal supervision of civil and administrative case handling, safeguard national and societal public interests, ensure judicial independence and authority, as well as enforce national law correctly and uniformly.” The question is therefore whether the procurator in practice can serve as an adequate remedy to safeguard the
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public’s interest in domestic violence cases in not only criminal context, but also civil context.

A recent article by Shi Changqing and Song Jie on “Exploring The Problem of the Procurator’s Civil Litigation Activities” answers the question in the affirmative and provides the logical possibilities that the procurator can offer for plaintiff victims in such public interest cases. Here, Shi and Song discuss some of the major issues debated regarding the procurator’s role in public interest cases and the procurator’s ability to maintain independence. Shi and Song state that because the procurator has always had the responsibility of protecting the public’s interest, it is especially appropriate that the procurator bring suits in its defense. Shi and Song consider two pertinent questions.

1. Can the Procurator Bring Any Lawsuit that Involves Public Interest Characteristics?

Although it is believed that a procurator’s pronounced powers are only in criminal prosecutions, Shi and Song argue that once a case entails national or societal public interests and no one brings the suit, the procurator has power to bring the civil litigation suit. If someone has already brought suit, the procurator cannot bring suit again, but he or she can join the lawsuit depending on the circumstances.

Shi and Song base their argument on legal authority that the role of the procurator does not fall strictly on criminal lawsuits, as the conventional view implies. Article 14 of Civil Procedure Law provides the legal authority for the procurator to expansively participate in civil proceedings. As supported by Article 2 of the 2001 Regulations of the Supreme People’s Procuratorate, if a civil lawsuit involves a matter of national, social, and public interest, the procurator is able to participate in some form during the
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course of the lawsuit. Even local regulations governing the procurator, such as the Hunan Regulation, demonstrate the point that domestic violence is no longer exclusively a family matter that can be easily turned away from official involvement. Under the Hunan Regulation, the procuratorate is under the legal obligation to accept domestic violence complaints and to handle them accordingly to the law and on behalf of the public’s interest.

Through its roles in prosecuting perpetrators, approving public security bureau arrests, and supervising the work of the public security bureau and people’s courts, the procurator can legitimately and effectively exercise its authority at every step of the process in domestic violence cases, whether it is a criminal or civil proceeding. Where an act of domestic violence results in a criminal offense, the procurator can automatically bring the lawsuit because criminal cases are deemed “public interest” cases. But even where an act of domestic violence is not severe enough to result in criminal penalties, the procurator can still participate and bring suit in a civil proceeding, since domestic violence can technically be viewed as “a matter of national, social, and public interest.” A hypothetical example would be where a husband strikes his wife, but the wife’s injuries are not severe enough to warrant criminal penalties for the husband. The procurator in this case can file a civil proceeding for compensatory damages, such as medical costs or lost working time.

2. If the Case Only Entails Private Interests and Does Not Involve Societal Public Interests, Does that Mean that the Procurator Has No Power to Interfere with the Case?

The procurator may litigate a case even where public interests are not directly affected. Generally speaking, Shi and Song state that the procurator cannot interfere with the litigant’s exercise of private rights, including the litigant’s right to bring suit according to the private right of autonomy. However, if the litigant is unable to bring suit due to economic difficulties, physical limitations, or is afraid to bring suit due to threats, the procurator—as a representative of the country—should intervene in the lawsuit to reflect judicial assistance to the socially disadvantaged. In this type of case, the procurator’s lawsuit does not directly reflect the protection of public
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interests. Yet helping those who cannot bring suit, similarly, is also a public interest goal. It is a type of assistance that targets all of society because each person has to face such possible difficulties. Shi and Song conclude that this is also the conventional civil litigation function of different procurators around the world.

Here, Shi and Song interpret more broadly the idea of “public interest” cases. Even in cases where private rights are at stake and public interests are not directly implicated, the procurator can technically bring suit in the name of the public interest if the litigant cannot bring suit due to her own social or economic difficulties. This applies to the domestic violence victim, who may be financially unable to bring a lawsuit or even fearful of bringing a lawsuit due to threats by her abusive husband. Based on Shi and Song’s argument, there are two scenarios in which the procurator can litigate domestic violence cases: 1) if domestic violence is considered a general social welfare concern, the procurator can in effect litigate on behalf of the public’s interest; 2) even if domestic violence entails private interests and not national or societal interests, the procurator can bring suit for a public interest goal where plaintiffs are unable to do so due to economic, social, and physical difficulties. This reasoning can similarly be applied to domestic violence cases in the criminal context.

Shi and Song’s creative argument is persuasive. Both laws and regulations do not prohibit the interpretation of public interest as one where the procurator brings suit if plaintiffs are unable to do so because of economic difficulties, physical limitations, or fear of bringing suit. While one may argue that Shi and Song’s interpretation stretches the idea of what constitutes societal public interest, procurators have taken cases that fall
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154 In fact, Article 98 of Criminal Law provides that “if the victim is unable to bring a complaint because of coercion or intimidation, a People’s Procuratorate or a close relative of the victim may bring a complaint.” Criminal Law, art. 98 (P.R.C.), available at http://www.lawinfochina.com/law/display.asp?db=1&id=354&keyword=criminal law.
within this realm where public interests are not directly at stake, yet a public interest goal is served. The Zhang case is an example.155

Ms. Zhang was severely burned when her husband poured and ignited gasoline on her during a dispute.156 Living in the fear of her husband, Ms. Zhang questioned whether the law would have any effect against her husband.157 After the procurator initiated the public prosecution and the Center’s attorneys filed an incidental civil proceeding, the court finally ruled that the husband acted with particular cruelty, intentionally causing bodily harm and severe injury to the plaintiff.158 The court punished him severely with a fourteen-year prison term and forced him to pay Ms. Zhang a sum of eighty thousand yuan as compensation.159 Ms. Zhang’s case is further evidence that the procurator’s participation is both permissible and effective in a case where public interests are not directly entailed, yet a public interest goal of aiding domestic violence victims under threat and with difficulties bringing suit is achieved.

B. Evidence of the Procurator’s Expanded Role in Other Public Interest Cases, Such as Environmental Cases, Further Supports Its Authority in Domestic Violence Cases

Further mounting evidence has shown the procurator litigating social issues concerning the public’s interest, such as environmental cases. In fact, procuratorates have already brought civil lawsuits for environmental damage.160 In May 2004, the Yan Jiang Procuratorate in Sichuan Province took action against eight stone materials factories that were causing serious local river pollution after the factories ignored the local environmental protection bureau orders.161 Local residents were hesitant to bring suit
because they believed “the cost to be prohibitive and their chances of success in the courts to be slim.”

Here, the merits of the procurator’s role are exemplified, especially in cases where plaintiffs have difficulty bringing the case themselves and where the public interest is involved. Statistics show evidence of the procurator’s success. Since 1997, at least 200 environmental cases have been brought around China. In the 70 cases with known court decisions, the procuratorate prevailed in every case. Defendants did not appeal a single case. The efficiencies of procuratorate-led public interest litigation resulted in huge support by environmental officials of a public interest litigation system involving the procuratorate. Environmental cases therefore show the potential of the procurator and the success enjoyed by government-initiated prosecutions in other areas.

The procurator’s successful involvement in environmental cases can potentially extend to domestic violence cases. Similar to environmental cases, the public’s interest is largely at stake in domestic violence cases. Like plaintiffs in environmental cases, plaintiffs in domestic violence cases may encounter various difficulties in bringing suit or may be reluctant in bringing suit against their perpetrators. In such cases, the procurator, as part of the government’s institutional structure, may be the most suitable agent to enforce consequences to the polluters or domestic violence perpetrators. It is therefore completely feasible to foresee the procurator playing a greater role as a public advocate in domestic violence cases, once demonstrated that the procurator is litigating on behalf of a general social welfare concern.

C. This Solution Does Have Limitations; However, the Chinese Government Is Taking Measures to Address Drawbacks

While the procurator appears to be the best channel and watchdog for domestic violence victims, corruption and abuses by the procurator have
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prohibited it from reaching its greatest potential. For instance, Chinese investigators discovered that procurators mishandled 1,454 of the 50,000 cases in a 1998 crackdown on procuratorial and judicial misconduct. Government policies in response to the waves of crime and official corruption in recent decades have also exacerbated procuratorial misconduct. Procuratorates and law enforcement agencies often neglected criminal procedural rules in their dash to strike hard at crime and show substantive results.

Furthermore, procurators seem to face few meaningful external checks by either the People’s Congresses or the judiciary. While people’s congresses exercise supervision over the procuratorate in their formal function, real power to dismiss government officials still lies with local Communist Party officials. The judiciary also possesses limited power to remedy procurator misconduct in the pretrial phase. Furthermore, the reliance on self-policing by the procuratorate and the lack of explicit provisions in State Compensation Law holding procurators liable for compensation expenses when they commit intentional or grossly negligent acts of misconduct make it uncertain that the procurator will be accountable for any misconduct. Lastly, previous studies assert that the procurators share stereotypical attitude regarding the family.

Even with the deficiencies of procurators, they will be able to litigate effectively if a strengthened system of checks and balances is put in place. The system should monitor the procuratorate for independence and penalize it for biases and deviance from statutory duties. Shi and Song recommend three possible fixes to ensure the independence of the procuratorate under the current system: 1) designate the procurator’s supervisory process as one that happens after the litigation process, 2) establish two independent
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departments within the procuratorate—one responsible for initiating public interest litigation and the other accountable for monitoring the process, and 3) create specialized procuratorates depending on their functions.179

Interestingly, the government seems to have taken similar measures to strengthen the independency of the procurator. Currently, the Supreme People’s Procuratorate established a number of internal departments, including an Investigation and Supervision Bureau, Public Prosecution Office and Supervisor of the Public Prosecutor’s Office.180 Additionally, notices have been issued “to strengthen the supervision within the procuratorial organs, guarantee the procuratorial organs’ and their staffers’ legal performance of functions and correct exercise of powers, tighten the procuratorial disciplines, and ensure the smooth execution of procuratorial orders.”181 If the procurator breaches his duties, he may be penalized through criticism and education, organizational punishment such as transferring or removal from office, disciplinary punishment, or criminal punishment, depending on the circumstances.182

With comprehensive structures in place, one can only hope that the government would heavily enforce such laws and regulations. Despite existing shortcomings, the procurator can still successfully aid efforts in domestic violence prevention, as well as initiate influential government-led lawsuits.

D. Due to Its Unique Position, the Procurator Can Supplement NGO Impact Litigation Activities and Recruit Multiple Agencies to Act Effectively, Increasing the Success of Domestic Violence Cases

As seen in other public interest cases, the procurator can increase domestic violence cases’ probability of success due to its unique position as both a State arm and an advocate for the public. In a highly politicized environment, working within the system as opposed to working externally through impact litigation has its benefits. With family matters being pushed
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out to the public sphere, a procurator still presents possibilities of untapped potential. An active procurator can act as a tremendous supplement to existing resources, including the Center’s current litigation activities. In fact, the Center has expressed the need for the procurator to take a more active role in domestic violence cases. According to the Center, it is necessary and feasible to establish a system of public prosecution in domestic violence cases. Abusive husbands will be deterred by the law and will feel pressure to restrict their behavior after the procurator’s public action. Through public prosecution, procurators will help punish abusive actors more efficiently, provide an outlet for wives in such an embarrassing situation, reduce domestic violence cases, and protect women’s rights more effectively. Furthermore, the procurator can help individual plaintiffs to litigate cases if they do not reach an NGO or where access to such resources is limited. Therefore, it is crucial for procurators to actively participate in domestic violence cases.

Their increased involvement can compliment the work of existing NGOs, such as those of the Center and women’s federations. This can be seen in the previous case of Ms. Zhang. In this case, one can see the cooperative efforts between the Center, women’s federations, and the procurator office. With the help of the Center’s collection of evidence and the Women’s Federation assistance with the forensic authentication of Zhang’s wounds, the procurator office was able to initiate a public prosecution suit against Zhang’s husband. While one may argue that the procurator played a passive role in the Zhang case, the success of the lawsuit as well as general high success rate for procurator-initiated litigation should encourage procurators to take a more aggressive approach in serving as public interest advocates in domestic violence cases.

By working with NGOs, women’s federations, and other relevant judicial and law enforcement authorities, the procurator can greatly enhance the positions of the plaintiff victims, ultimately paving way for a successful lawsuit. In return, the impact litigation cases, awareness campaigns, and trainings by quasi-independent legal aid centers will help instill a gender perspective for the procurators in their work. While one cannot completely
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prevent procurators from assuming traditional ideas about domestic violence, their legal duties obligate them to serve as vigorous advocates for the plaintiff victims and to ensure that justice is attained in the process.

To further ensure the effectiveness of the procurator, legal regulations should be created to mandate gender-sensitivity trainings for procurator, judges and other relevant departments when handling domestic violence cases. These trainings, coupled with amendments to clarify and supplement existing legal provisions on domestic violence, enforcement of these provisions, heavy penalties for repeat domestic violence offenders, clear identification of relevant authorities’ responsibilities, increased awareness of domestic violence issues, as well as the cooperation of all legal and law enforcement actors, would greatly address domestic violence victims’ grievances.\(^{189}\)

V. Conclusion

In China, domestic violence stands as a complex issue that requires a multilateral approach by multiple agencies. As domestic violence transverses from the private to public sphere, the procurator, as the public’s legal advocate, possesses the legal authority to litigate such cases, whether in criminal or civil proceedings. An institutionalized agency, such as the procurator, can have a positive effect on domestic violence cases through initiating lawsuits on behalf of victims. This need for the procurator is further amplified, particularly due to recent developments that the Center had lost its affiliation with Peking University in April 2010, which may hinder its impact litigation work in the future.\(^{190}\) With laws and regulations strengthening the independence of the procurator, as well as cooperative efforts with legal aid centers, government agencies, women’s federations, and judiciaries, the procurator will be able to effectively counter domestic violence and achieve optimal results for victims.

\(^{189}\) Yuhong Zhao, supra note 23, at 233-50.