

Washington Law Review

Volume 48

Issue 4 *Symposium: Comprehensive Judicial Reform—A Timely Alternative to Piecemeal Modification*

8-1-1973

Contents

anon

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.law.uw.edu/wlr>

Recommended Citation

anon, Table of Contents, *Contents*, 48 Wash. L. & Rev. xiii (1973).
Available at: <https://digitalcommons.law.uw.edu/wlr/vol48/iss4/1>

This Table of Contents is brought to you for free and open access by the Law Reviews and Journals at UW Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Washington Law Review by an authorized editor of UW Law Digital Commons. For more information, please contact cnyberg@uw.edu.

WASHINGTON LAW REVIEW

Volume 48, Number 4, August, 1973

CONTENTS

Articles

Mental Illness: A Legal Fiction

James H. Hardisty 735

Professor Hardisty examines the use of the term "mental illness" by the law, focusing upon competency and insanity defense tests. After determining that the term has no accepted medical definition, the author analyzes the necessity for legal usage of the term. Professor Hardisty concludes that the term "mental illness" should be deleted from the law.

Due Process and Deeds of Trust—Strange Bedfellows?

David A. Leen, Peter A. Galbraith and John Gant 763

The authors examine in detail the validity of the private sale provisions of the Washington Deed of Trust Act in light of the recent procedural due process decisions of the United States Supreme Court. In addition to concluding that the present Washington Act appears to be unconstitutional, the authors briefly discuss the policy considerations involved and suggest general guidelines for change.

Symposium

Comprehensive Judicial Reform—A Timely Alternative to Piecemeal Modification

805

The Washington Legislature currently has before it S.J. Res. 113, a proposal to comprehensively reform the judicial system in Washington by amending Article IV of the Washington constitution. The proposed changes include a new system for selecting, disciplining and removing judges; a new procedure for financing and administering the courts; and unification of Washington's judicial system. Carefully analyzing this proposal for judicial reform in Washington, United States Supreme Court Justice Tom C. Clark (retired), Washington Supreme Court Justice Robert F. Utter, and Professor Luvern V. Rieke probe and discuss the substantive issues raised by the legislation. Their analysis should be helpful to all those interested in judicial reform—legislators, judges, lawyers, and Washington voters, who may be asked to approve or disapprove S.J. Res. 113 as early as November 1974.

The Need for Judicial Reform
Tom C. Clark 806

Unification, Funding, Discipline and Administration:
Cornerstones for a New Judicial Article
Luvern V. Rieke 811

Selection and Retention—A Judge's Perspective
Robert F. Utter 839

Lampadephoria

On Criteria for Redistricting
Richard L. Morrill 847

Comment

Discretion in Felony Sentencing—A Study of Influencing
Factors 857

The desirability and constitutionality of discretionary criminal sentencing can be ascertained only if the factors influencing sentencing decisions are known. The authors analyze data generated by a 1971 survey of Washington State superior court trial judges in order to identify the significant factors and to evaluate their relative importance in criminal sentencing. The results indicate that discretionary sentencing is influenced strongly by social biases in no way dependent upon the culpability of the offender, his personal behavior patterns, or circumstances of the crime.

Recent Developments

Constitutional Law—Equal Protection: Validity of R.C.W.
§ 9.87.010(13), Washington's School Loitering Statute—
State v. Oyen, 78 Wn. 2d 909, 480 P.2d 766 (1971),
vacated mem. sub nom. Oyen v. Washington, 408 U.S.
933 (1972). 891

Torts—Nuisance Actions Against Municipal Airports—
Nestle v. City of Santa Monica, 6 Cal. 3d 920, 496 P.2d
480, 101 Cal. Rptr. 568 (1972). 904

Securities Regulations—Private Offering Exemption: SEC
Proposed Rule 146. 922

Reviews

Prosterman, Surviving to 3000
William O. Douglas 941

Geis, Not the Law's Business?
Jennifer James 943

Epilogue 953

Copyright 1973 all rights reserved by the Washington Law Review Association

THE WASHINGTON LAW REVIEW is published four times each year by students of the University of Washington School of Law. Second-class postage paid at Seattle, Washington and additional offices. Subscription, \$12.00 a year in United States and Canada; \$12.50 elsewhere. Single issue \$4.00. Prices for back issues and volumes are available on request. If subscription is to be discontinued at expiration, notice to that effect should be sent to the Business Manager; otherwise subscriptions will be automatically renewed.

Citations conform to A Uniform System of Citation (11th ed. 1967), copyright by the Columbia, Harvard, and University of Pennsylvania Law Reviews and the Yale Law Journal.

Member, National Conference of Law Reviews.

The REVIEW gratefully acknowledges complimentary subscriptions to the National Reporter System and Washington advance sheets, presented by the West Publishing Company and the Bancroft-Whitney Company.

Address all correspondence to: Washington Law Review
306 Condon Hall
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98195