

Washington Law Review

Volume 48
Number 4 *Symposium: Comprehensive Judicial
Reform—A Timely Alternative to Piecemeal
Modification*

8-1-1973

Epilogue

Don B. Wittenberger

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.law.uw.edu/wlr>

Recommended Citation

Don B. Wittenberger, Epilogue, *Epilogue*, 48 Wash. L. Rev. 953 (1973).
Available at: <https://digitalcommons.law.uw.edu/wlr/vol48/iss4/15>


This Epilogue is brought to you for free and open access by the Law Reviews and Journals at UW Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Washington Law Review by an authorized editor of UW Law Digital Commons. For more information, please contact cnyberg@uw.edu.

EPILOGUE

Volume 48 of the Washington Law Review opened with a 290-page analysis of developments in criminal law devoted primarily to a critique of a vital piece of proposed legislation, the Revised Washington Criminal Code. We think it appropriate to close the volume in a lighter vein. Although humor in law review articles is not uncommon, the medium for this expression usually has been the written word, which has provided a kind of literary boundary beyond which law reviews have not ventured. The Washington Law Review is prepared to transgress this hallowed demarcation, to overcome this preoccupation with the precision of printed presentation. Ever open to different ideas and modes of expression—for instance, having established a Lampedophoria section devoted to pieces which do not fit into the traditional categories of articles, comments, recent developments, and book reviews—the Washington Law Review herein again parts with tradition, stimulated not by iconoclasm but rather by a desire to be flexible in approach. Thus the following section of the Washington Law Review transcends the mundane articulations of the past and enters the realm of pure conceptual communication on a more sophisticated, artistic plane. To bridge the gap, as it were, between today's law students and the practicing lawyer, we are proud to promulgate one law student's unique way of taking class notes, a truly demanding and rigorous approach requiring the utmost in synthesis of legal concepts and incisive insight into the practical ramifications of legal principles. We are honored to present a selection from the actual class notes of Don B. Wittenberger, Class of '73.


CRIMINAL PROCEDURE—SEARCH AND SEIZURE

①




"Hold it, you."

②




"Hold it yourself, officer. You got to have some reasonable grounds for suspicion before you can search me."

③



4



5





6




"Would you mind putting your hands against that wall over there?"

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE: JURISDICTION


One day at the edge of the jurisdiction . . .




④



⑤



⑥




"I didn't know they could do that."


CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—CONSPIRACY—CIVIL RIGHTS

18 U.S.C. § 241 (1970): Conspiracy against rights of citizens. . . . If two or more persons go in disguise on the highway, or on the premises of another, with intent to prevent or hinder his free exercise or enjoyment of any right or privilege so secured—They shall be fined not more than \$5,000 or imprisoned not more than ten years, or both.


①



②



③




CONFLICT OF LAWS: JURISDICTION




"It appears the court in the other state is purporting to assume jurisdiction over the res."

WILLS



"There certainly can be no question of the testator's intent. The question for decision is, was the attempt to revoke effective?"

TRIAL PRACTICE



"Excuse me, counsel, but there have to be some limits."



"If I can interrupt for a minute, is this a closing argument or a filibuster?"