Report of Legislative Committee

Thomas L. O'Leary
The Legislative Committee also has prepared a written report which has been filed, and I assume some of you may have read it, and at least it is available to you. If you are interested in it, you have it available and in condition so that it can be read.

I desire, however, to make one or two observations from my very limited experience as chairman of this Legislative Committee in order to attempt to make the work of that Committee more efficiently conducted.

In the first place, the Committee, in my opinion, in the past and during the past year has been too large. It consists of a chairman and twenty-eight members; and any of you who have had experience in working with committees know that a committee of that size is just too big, and when matters were to be considered by the Committee most of them had to be considered through correspondence. We found that we were very fortunate indeed if we obtained the opinions of sixteen or seventeen of the members.

I would suggest that from here on that the Committee be made up of a chairman and about fourteen members. Fifteen would be plenty large, in my opinion. I think that a committee of fifteen members would permit the various portions of the state to be represented and would really permit the various legal interests to be represented on the Committee.

You know that for many years Judge Ott was our special representative at the Legislature, but when he was appointed on the Bench he could no longer serve in that capacity. I think we were very fortunate indeed to obtain the services of Frank B. Malloy, of Waterville, to represent the Bar Association at the Legislature. Frank served many terms in the House and has had the privileges of the floor customarily granted to a former member, and he did a very fine job. I don’t suppose we accomplished everything we desired to accomplish but under the circumstances we did everything we could.

Perhaps it would be well to explain to you how the Legislative Committee functions. A committee as large as ours can’t meet very often, and we had two meetings; one prior to the opening of the Legislature and one when the session was on. Most of our work has to be done by correspondence. When we receive some measure that has been
proposed by some lawyer or judicial association we submit copies of
that to each member of the Committee and get his advice as to
whether it should be approved or disapproved by the members of
the Bar. If we get an affirmative vote we ask our representative to
see what he can do to see that it is passed. If the vote is no, of course,
we oppose that in the legislature. The big difficulty with regard to
legislative work is the shortness of the term that the legislature is in
session. Sixty days is a pretty short time, and as anyone of you who
has had anything to do with the legislature may know, they spend
the first two weeks there organizing committees and making appro-
priations for expenditures to be incurred by the legislature itself and
between two and three weeks are devoted to budget and revenue
measures, and so that leaves thirty days at the most for the consid-
eration of the ordinary legislation. That is a pretty short period. So,
many of the bills we sponsored in the last session we were able to get
through one house or the other. Many of them died in one committee
or the other. And so it is necessary to place before the Legislative
Committee, if it is to act efficiently, the proposed measure at least
thirty days before the session. We then have an opportunity to place
it before the Legislature. We then have an opportunity to see that it
gets through one house and thereafter through another. But unless
we have the measure early the situation is very hopeless, particularly
since in the last legislature there was a lot of dissatisfaction among
the various cliques; and so, let me ask that if you have any measures
that you present them at least thirty days prior to the next legisla-
tive session.

REPORT OF RESOLUTIONS COMMITTEE

BY HENRY ELLIOTT

(Note: The report of the Committee has been reduced to publish
here the actual resolutions prepared, recommended, and adopted.)

RESOLUTION NO. 1

"Be it resolved that we approve the adoption by Congress of H. R.
3456 introduced by Mr. Coudert on April 2, 1951, or some similar
legislation, and be it further resolved that copies of this resolution be
forwarded to each congressman from the State of Washington and
to the Committee on Ways and Means of the United States House
of Representatives."