

Washington Law Review

Volume 28

Issue 3 *Washington Legislation—1953*

8-1-1953

Editor's Notes

Hugh McGough

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.law.uw.edu/wlr>

Recommended Citation

Hugh McGough, Editors Notes, *Editor's Notes*, 28 Wash. L. Rev. & St. B.J. viii (1953).

Available at: <https://digitalcommons.law.uw.edu/wlr/vol28/iss3/2>

This Editors Notes is brought to you for free and open access by the Law Reviews and Journals at UW Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Washington Law Review by an authorized editor of UW Law Digital Commons. For more information, please contact cnyberg@uw.edu.

WASHINGTON LAW REVIEW

AND

STATE BAR JOURNAL

VOLUME 28

AUGUST, 1953

NUMBER 3

CONTENTS

WASHINGTON LEGISLATION—1953

CIVIL RIGHTS.....	<i>John B. Sholley</i>	167
Racial Discrimination.....		
CORPORATIONS.....	<i>J. Gordon Gose</i>	167
Corporate Charitable Contributions.....		
CREDITORS' RIGHTS.....	<i>Warren L. Shattuck</i>	172
Labor Liens—Restaurant, Hotel, Tavern, Etc. Employees.....		
CRIMINAL LAW.....	<i>John B. Sholley</i>	175
Subversion.....		
DOMESTIC RELATIONS.....	<i>John W. Richards</i>	176
Family Desertion—Non-Support.....		
EVIDENCE.....	<i>John W. Richards</i>	176
Copies of Business and Public Records as Evidence.....		
PROPERTY.....	<i>Harry M. Cross</i>	178
Alien Land Law.....		
Survivorship in Joint Tenancies.....		
SALES.....	<i>Robert M. Taylor</i>	181
Bulk Sales Law.....		
Motor Vehicles.....		
SOCIAL LEGISLATION.....	<i>John B. Sholley</i>	182
Unemployment Compensation.....		
Workmen's Compensation.....		
Compensation for Civil Defense Workers.....		
Public Assistance.....		
STATE GOVERNMENT.....	<i>Ivan C. Rutledge</i>	193
Legislative Procedure.....		
Administrative Procedure.....		
TAXATION.....	<i>Alfred Harsh</i>	197
TORTS.....	<i>John W. Richards</i>	201
Survival of Actions—Death of Tort-Feasor.....		
DEPORTATION AS A DENIAL OF SUBSTANTIVE DUE PROCESS.....	<i>Stimson Bullitt</i>	205
WORKMEN'S COMPENSATION—EMPLOYEES IN DUAL ACTIVITY.....	<i>Gordon F. Crandall</i>	223
RECENT CASES.....		236
BOOK REVIEWS.....		244

Subscription price, \$1.20 per annum; single copy, 50 cents. Published quarterly in February, May, August, and November by the Washington Law Review Association, 205 Condon Hall, University of Washington, Seattle 5, Washington. Entered as second-class matter February 27, 1937, at the Post Office of Seattle, Washington, under the Act of March 3, 1879.

EDITORIAL BOARD OF THE LAW SCHOOL

HUGH MCGOUGH, *Editor*

ROBERT S. MUCKLESTONE, *Associate Editor* MYRON J. CARLSON, *Contributions Editor*
DALE RIVELAND, *Revision Editor* MICHAEL MINES, *Business Manager*

ALAN F. AUSTIN	EUGENE A. GREENWAY	JAMES F. MCAETER
JOANNNE BAILEY	GORDON JAYNES	THOMAS E. SMAIL, JR.
ROBERT F. BRACHTENBACH	ROGER I. LEWIS	WILLIAM S. STINNETTE
NEWMAN L. DOTSON	IVOR LUSTY	PHILIP A. TRAUTMAN
GEORGE K. FALER		

WILLIAM E. LOVE, *Faculty Adviser*
JOHN W. RICHARDS, *Faculty Business Manager*

EDITOR'S NOTES

Professor Milton D. Green, faculty adviser of the Law Review for the past six years, has left Condon Hall to become Dean of Washington University Law School in St. Louis. When Professor Green was appointed to the Review in 1948, his position was "Editor-in-Chief." He immediately renounced the title, became "Faculty Adviser," and for the first time put editorial policy in the hands of the students. Since that time, the editorial board has consisted of a practically autonomous group of students. Unquestionably, the value of the Review as an educational tool has been multiplied by the reform. The increased student interest has added to the quality of their articles. Professor Green instituted a change for which we and the future members of the board owe him thanks.

The comment on employees in dual activity is one of a contemplated series of articles on various phases of the Workmen's Compensation Act. It is hoped that they will be of some aid to the proposed committee which is to redraft the Act. The maze of inconsistency and confusion resulting from much legislative patchwork and no comprehensive revision, anything but alleviated by the reshuffling of the RCW compilers, is illustrated by the recent cases of *Latimer v. Western Machinery Exchange*, 40 Wn. 2d 155 (1952), reversed on rehearing, 142 Wash. Dec. 693 (1953) and *Pink v. Rayonier*, 40 Wn. 2d 188 (1952), reversed on rehearing, 142 Wash. Dec. 705 (1953). The earlier cases were unanimous departmental opinions. The same judges wrote the *en banc* decisions, also unanimous, which reversed the earlier decisions. The court was dealing with an anomaly, employers with no employees. At present, such self-employers are eligible for benefits under the act, contrary to the prior interpretation by the Department of Labor and Industries. Such eligibility, however, does not of itself preclude an action in tort against a third person employer covered by the Act, contrary to the assumption of appellant, respondent and the court in the departmental hearing of the above cases.

HUGH MCGOUGH