

Washington Law Review

Volume 29

Issue 2 *Washington Case Law-1953*

5-1-1954

Editor's Notes

Hugh McGough

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.law.uw.edu/wlr>

Recommended Citation

Hugh McGough, Editors Notes, *Editor's Notes*, 29 Wash. L. Rev. & St. B.J. 242 (1954).

Available at: <https://digitalcommons.law.uw.edu/wlr/vol29/iss2/22>

This Editors Notes is brought to you for free and open access by the Law Reviews and Journals at UW Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Washington Law Review by an authorized editor of UW Law Digital Commons. For more information, please contact cnyberg@uw.edu.

EDITORIAL BOARD OF THE LAW SCHOOL

HUGH MCGOUGH, *Editor*

ROBERT S. MUCKLESTONE, *Associate Editor* MYRON J. CARLSON, *Contributions Editor*
DALE RIVELAND, *Revision Editor* MICHAEL MINES, *Business Manager*

ALAN F. AUSTIN	EUGENE A. GREENWAY	SAMUEL F. PEARCE
JOANNE BAILEY	JOHN A. GOSE	JOHN T. PIPER
ROBERT F. BRACHTENBACH	ALICE D. HUBBARD	RICHARD K. QUINN
THOMAS J. BRENNAN	GORDON JAYNES	JACK R. RUEGG
RAY BROWDER	GUST A. LEDAKIS	EUGENE H. SAGE
SALLY M. CAMPBELL	ROGER I. LEWIS	THOMAS E. SMAIL, JR.
NEWMAN L. DOTSON	IVOR LUSTY	JOAN SMITH
GEORGE K. FALER	JAMES F. McATEER	WILLIAM S. STINNETTE
VINCENT L. GADBOW	LAURENCE S. MOORE	PHILIP A. TRAUTMAN

WILLIAM E. LOVE, *Faculty Adviser*

JOHN W. RICHARDS, *Faculty Business Manager*

EDITOR'S NOTES

The review of Washington case law presented in this issue is the result of a suggestion made by Dean George Neff Stevens to the student editorial board of the Law Review. Such surveys have been presented by several other law reviews during recent years. A distinguishing feature of this survey is that it has been managed and written by students.

The ultimate decision as to the treatment to be given a case generally has rested with the student assigned to that field, subject to a veto by the editorial board. That decision is reached after the case is presented and discussed at a board meeting and after consultation with the faculty expert in the particular field.

The articles appearing in this survey replace the "Casenotes" on Washington cases which ordinarily would have been written for the Review. Whether this type of presentation of Washington law will be continued depends largely upon the reaction of the bar. We would appreciate your appraisal of the survey.

HUGH MCGOUGH